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Photographs: Writing Places – Writing Spaces
In this booklet you will find a series of photographs related to the theme “Writing Places – Writing Spaces”. These photographs and many more will also be on exhibit during the conference in the “Mensa” building. Since participants are not able to make their own writing processes visible at the conference, some of them took pictures of the places where these processes take place and submitted them to the conference exhibit. All photographs will be used anonymously. We would like to thank all contributors.
Welcome to the 2008 EWCA Conference
at the University of Education Freiburg (Germany)!

We are delighted to see you all here at this gathering of writing center professionals and of all others supporting the work of writing centers in high schools, at colleges and universities, and in professional education.

The history of this organization, the European Writing Centers Association, is short. Its conferences so far include Bulgaria in 2000, two joint meetings with the European Association for the Teaching of Academic Writing (EATAW) in 2001 in Groningen (The Netherlands) and in 2003 in Budapest (Hungary), an international workshop in 2002 in Halkidiki (Greece) as well as a full conference at the same place in 2005, a regional writing centers seminar in 2004 at Turkey’s Sabanci University, and another large international gathering in 2006 at Bogazici University in Istanbul (Turkey).

Please accept our invitation to use this time in Freiburg to continue this short but great tradition of presenting, sharing, and encouraging each others academic endeavors, our practical experiences, our ideas, hopes, and dreams about a true community of writers and readers across social divides, across the educational pyramid and disciplines, across languages, and cultures.

Let’s transform these conference days into a feast of creativity, collaboration, and personal insight. Let’s celebrate our work, nourish our friendships, and start new ones while enjoying both Germany’s lovely Southwestern corner with its fine food and wine as well as the hospitality that we, the local organizing team and the EWCA Board, hope to offer you.

You will be among more than 130 people from 22 countries who registered for this conference. You can choose from 75 presentations, 45 presentations, 14 workshops, 4 round table discussions, 10 posters, and two Internet sessions with colleagues presenting online from overseas. In addition to this abundance of academic work, we offer you a Writers’ Night and a photo exhibit entitled “Writing Places – Writing Spaces”. Trips will also be offered to the historic city of Freiburg, the lovely vineyards of the countryside, and the world-famous Rhine. Come and join us! We are happy to welcome you to Freiburg.

Gerd Bräuer and Erdmuthe Schiller
On behalf of the local organizing team and the EWCA Board
Interacting online is all about the experience. That’s why we’ve designed the Elluminate Live!® family of products to give you what is quite simply the BEST user experience in the industry for real-time, remote teaching, training, meeting and much more.
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Please be aware that all presentations in slot 1 (Friday, Saturday, and Sunday) will be recorded and podcasted on the Internet. Please obtain a password to the podcast room through erdmuthe.schiller@ph-freiburg.de. This service will be made available free of charge through the generous sponsoring of InnovationCampus.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Slot 1</th>
<th>Slot 2</th>
<th>Slot 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8-8:45 a.m.</td>
<td>Registration</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 9-12 noon | **Workshop 1 (full-day)**  
Harvey Kail,  
Diane Boehm,  
Helen Raica-Klotz,  
Swenja Granzow  
Writing Center Tutoring: Recruitment, Theory, Training | **Workshop 2 (full-day)**  
**Featured presentation**  
Martina Adami et. al  
International Teacher Training Program “Scriptorium” | **Workshop 3 (half-day)**  
Magnus Gustafsson,  
Katrin Girgensohn  
Exploring the dimensions of academic writing: Steps toward a faculty seminar to enhance the understanding, definition and development of academic writing |
| 12-2 p.m. | Lunch (Hotel Waldhof) |  |
| 2-5 p.m. | Harvey Kail,  
Diane Boehm,  
Helen Raica-Klotz,  
Swenja Granzow  
(cont.) | Martina Adami et. al  
(cont.) | Workshop 4 (half-day)  
Valerie Balester,  
Candace Schaefer  
Using Virtual and Uncommon Spaces for Writing Center Work |
<p>| 2-7 p.m. | Registration („Mensa“ building) |  |
| 7-10 p.m. | Welcome party („Mensa“ building) with opening of photo exhibit Writing Places – Writing Spaces and The Writers’ Circus |  |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Activity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8-11 a.m.</td>
<td>Registration (&quot;Mensa&quot; building)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9-9:30 a.m.</td>
<td>Opening (KG V 103)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 9:30-10:30 a.m. | **Keynote presentation**  
Michele Eodice  
Student Writers/  
Student Learning |
<p>| 10:30-11:00 a.m. | Coffee Break (foyer of building KG V)                                |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Event Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 11 a.m.-12:30 p.m. | Workshop: Secondary and Post-secondary Collaboration: Implications of the “Calderwood Conversations” for Writing Center Work  
Tiane Donahue, Leigh Ryan, Tracie G. Smith, Pamela Childers  
Maria Jerskey  
Piet-Hein van de Ven  
Anastasia Logotheti  
Jana Zegenhagen, Irene Pieper  
Afra Sturm |
| 12:30-1:30 p.m. | Lunch (“Mensa”) |
1:15 - 2:30 p.m.  

Poster Presentations („Mensa“ building)

In the beginning of this guided tour through the poster market, the new peer tutors of the Freiburg Writing Center will receive their certification. Poster presenters, please give a 5 minute introduction to your poster when we pass by your stand and remain available for questions during the entire time slot. Thank you.

Please meet at 1:15 in front of the Freiburg Writing Center where also Conference Registration is located.

Jens-Henning Jenkner
Zur Erarbeitung elektronischer Portfolios mit digitalen Medien der Textproduktion im Deutschunterricht – Untersuchung eines Hauptschulprojektes zur Begleitung der Berufsorientierung

(Creating E-Portfolios with Digital Media for Text Production in the L1 Classroom: Study of a Middle School Project on Facilitating Students’ Orientation toward their Future Professions)

Eva-Maria Jakobs, Matthias Knopp
The “Interdisciplinary Web Portal: Text Production and Writing Research”

Dale Wik
Writing Centres: The Evolutionary Journey from the Margins to the Core of the Institution

Richard Kent (He will not be present at the conference. Please join us for an Internet session with Richard Kent at 4 p.m., slot 1.)

What’s happening in Maine? Creating Student-Staffed Writing Centers in the State of Maine through University, School, and National Writing Project Collaboration

Deborah McDowell Aoki, Kyoko Morikoshi
Writing Center Development at a Japanese University.

Sonja Bischoff, Carl Bossard, Silke Buchali, Gerd Bräuer, Bianca Lenz
Multikultureller Dialog zwischen studentischen SchreibberaterInnen in Zug/Schweiz und Freiburg/Deutschland

(Multicultural Dialogue Between Peer Writing Tutors at the Universities of Education in Zug (Switzerland) and Freiburg (Germany))

Katrin Girgensohn
Scientific Competence through Diversity

Ljerka Rados
Writing-Intensive Business English Courses
| Slot 1 | Focus: Institution | Keynote presentation (2 parts) KG V 103 2:45-3:45 p.m. Pamela Childers Writing Centers: A Natural Connection between Secondary and Adult Education |
| Slot 2 | Focus: Curriculum | KG II 014 2:45-3:45 p.m. Dilek Tokay Synergy in University - Secondary/High School Writing Center Collaborations and Joint Initiatives |
| Slot 3 | Focus: Training | KG IV 222 3:45-4 p.m. Coffee Break (foyer of building KG V) |
| Slot 4 | Focus: Institution | KG IV 301 3:45-4 p.m. |
| Slot 1 | Focus: Institution  
KG V 103 |
---|---|
4-5.30 p.m. | **Featured presentation**  
Virtual round table  
**Richard Kent**  
What’s happening in Maine?  
Creating Student-Staffed Writing Centers in the State of Maine through University, School, and National Writing Project Collaboration |

| Slot 2 | Focus: Curriculum  
KG II 014 |
---|---|
| **Karen Boozer**  
The Birth of a Writing Center at Fairhill School |
| **Lauren Fitzgerald, Patricia Melei**  
Building Bridges to Writing beyond the Curriculum: Outreach in High School and University Writing Centers |
| **Josef Schneeweiß**  
Journalistisches Schreiben und journalistische Qualität  
(Journalistic Writing - Journalistic Quality) |

| Slot 3 | Focus: Training  
KG IV 222 |
---|---|
| **Josta van Rij-Heyligers**  
Building Learning and Writing Centres: The New Kids on the Block in Higher Education |
| **Virtual presentation (Internet)** |
| **Lisa Zimmerelli, David Taylor, John Whitcraft**  
The Online Writing Center as a New Paradigm for Interconnectivity |

| Slot 4 | Focus: Institution  
KG IV 301 |
---|---|
| --- |

6-10 p.m. | Freiburg city tour with dinner (meet at 6 p.m. at the water fountain on campus) |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Slot 1</th>
<th>Slot 2</th>
<th>Slot 3</th>
<th>Slot 4</th>
<th>Slot 5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Focus: Institution</td>
<td>Focus: Curriculum</td>
<td>Focus: Training</td>
<td>Focus: Intercultural/L2 issues</td>
<td>Focus: Special issues</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KG V 103</td>
<td>KG V 104</td>
<td>KG IV 222</td>
<td>KG IV 301</td>
<td>KG II 014</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Workshop</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8:00-8:30 a.m.</td>
<td>Registration (&quot;Mensa&quot; building)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 8:30-10 a.m. | **Workshop** Sandee McGlaun  
Creative Tutor Training: Beyond Writing about Writing |
|            | **Workshop** Helen Chen  
Using e-Portfolios to Support Reflection and Community Building |
|            | **Workshop** Martha Jerrim, Brooke Baker, Jennifer Wagner, Annett Mudoh  
Training of Writing Consultants for German as a Foreign Language |
<p>| 10-10:15 a.m. | Coffee Break (foyer of building KG V)                                    |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Event</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10:15 a.m.</td>
<td>Keynote presentation: Matthew Martin, Jonathan Worley, Peer Tutoring and the Pedagogy of Encounter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:30 a.m.</td>
<td>Featured presentation: Sibel Vurgun, Writing on the Lakefront: Establishing a Network for Writing in Higher Education around Lake Constance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:00 a.m.</td>
<td>Keynote presentation: Kathleen Shine Cain, An American in Belfast, or The Impact of National Cultures on Writing Center Work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:15 a.m.</td>
<td>Featured presentation: Harvey Kail, Moving Writing Centers off the Margin: The Peer Tutor Alumni Research Project</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:30 a.m.</td>
<td>Workshop: Alan Atasy, Writing Retreat: Glimmers of Change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:30 a.m.</td>
<td>Workshop: Ayşem Karadağ, Writing Retreat: Glimmers of Change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:00 p.m.</td>
<td>Workshop: Tijen Atasy, Writing Retreat: Glimmers of Change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:00 p.m.</td>
<td>Workshop: Martha Boegein, An Introduction to Active Listening</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:30 p.m.</td>
<td>Featured presentation: Yochie Wolffensperger, Reciprocal Influences in Caring Academic Literacy Mentoring</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:30 p.m.</td>
<td>Featured presentation: Caroline Coit, Writing Centers: Aiding and Abetting Change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:45 p.m.</td>
<td>Round table: Shanti Bruce, Kevin Dvorak, A Discussion with the Authors of ESI Writers: A Guide for Writing Center Tutors about Developing a Tutor Training Program with an ELL Focus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-2 p.m.</td>
<td>Lunch (“Mensa” building)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Saturday, June 21

Featuring: College/University Writing Center Work
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Session</th>
<th>Featured by/Participants</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2-3:30 p.m.</td>
<td>Featured presentation</td>
<td>Helmut Gruber, Markus Rheindorf, Birgit Huemer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Round table</td>
<td>Carol P. Haviland, Linda Bergmann, Michele Eodice, Trixie Smith, Brady Spangenberg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Collaborating across Borders: Forming International Writing Center Partnerships</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Wendy Kasap</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Analysing Essay Titles in Preparation for Academic Writing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ella Grieshammer, Judith Theuerkauf</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Master Your Thesis - Schreibberatung mit integrierter Lernberatung für ausländische Studierende an der Technischen Universität Berlin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(Master your Thesis - Tutoring Writing with Integrated Learning Support for International Students at the Technical University of Berlin, Germany)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sandra Ballweg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>An OWL for Babel – Setting up a Multilingual Online Writing Lab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3:30-4 p.m.</td>
<td>Coffee Break</td>
<td>(foyer of building KG V)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Saturday, June 21
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Slot 1</th>
<th>Slot 2</th>
<th>Slot 3</th>
<th>Slot 4</th>
<th>Slot 5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Focus: Institution KG V 103</td>
<td>Focus: Curriculum KG V 104</td>
<td>Focus: Training KG IV 222</td>
<td>Focus: Intercultural/ L2 issues KG IV 301</td>
<td>Focus: Special issues KG II 014</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4-5:30 p.m. *Round table*
Mary Deane, Lisa Ganbocsik-Williams, Peter O’Neill, Julian Brasington, Katherine Harrington, Dipti Baghat
Institutional Development of Individualised Support for Staff

---

4-5:30 p.m. *Workshop*
Michele Eodice, Elizabeth Boquet
Teaching and Learning at the Writing Center’s Crossroads: A Few Lessons from Jazz Improvisation

---

4-5:30 p.m. *Featured presentation*
Katharina Weiss
Tutoring across Borders: A German Teacher at the Writing Center of an American College in Greece

4-5:30 p.m. *Workshop*
Tracy Santa
Writing Center Tutor Training: What is Transferable across Academic Cultures?

4-5:30 p.m. *Workshop*
Jean Thaiss
Teaching Writing to Pre-Law Students in an Independent Writing Program

6-10:30 p.m. *Trip to Staufen and dinner (meet at 6 p.m. at the water fountain on campus)*
## Sunday, June 22

### Feating: Professional fields

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Slot 1</th>
<th>Focus: Institution</th>
<th>KG V 103</th>
<th>9-10 a.m.</th>
<th>Magnus Gustafsson</th>
<th>Unpacking ‘Professional Writing’ - Writing in the Academy to Facilitate Writing for Professional Contexts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Slot 2</td>
<td>Focus: Curriculum</td>
<td>KG II 014</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slot 3</td>
<td>Focus: Training</td>
<td>KG IV 222</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slot 4</td>
<td>Focus: Institution</td>
<td>KG IV 301</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Coffee Break (foyer of building KG V)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Featured presentation</th>
<th>Featured presentation</th>
<th>Featured presentation</th>
<th>Featured presentation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10:15-11:45 a.m</td>
<td><strong>Featured presentation</strong></td>
<td><strong>Cecilia Hawkins</strong></td>
<td><strong>Laura Greenfield</strong></td>
<td><strong>Andrea Bausch</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Symbiosis or Synergy? Integrating the Writing Center into Discipline-Specific Programs</td>
<td>Situating the Work of Your Writing Center: Finding A Place, Finding a Mission</td>
<td>Zwischen beruflicher Qualifizierung und individueller Unterstützung. Eindrücke aus der Schreibberatungsarbeit mit künftigen (Deutsch-)Lehrkräften an der Universität Bamberg (Between Professional Training and Individualized Support: Impressions from tutoring writing of future (German) teachers at the University of Bamberg, Germany)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:15-11:45 a.m</td>
<td><strong>Philip Sloan</strong></td>
<td><strong>Olha Ivashchyn, Volodymyr Dovbenko, Oleksandra Ostrovska</strong></td>
<td><strong>Jaqueline Potter, Laurie Lumsden, Tamara O’Connor</strong></td>
<td><strong>Joan Mullin</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:15-11:45 a.m</td>
<td><strong>Heather K. Browne</strong></td>
<td><strong>Amanda Tinker, Gillian Byrne</strong></td>
<td><strong>Patricia E. Ackermann</strong></td>
<td><strong>Joan Mullin</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Making the Writing Center Central</td>
<td>Meeting Diversity through the Disciplines: a Devolved Model of Academic Skills Development</td>
<td>Influencing and Assessing Tutor Perspective through Reflective Thinking</td>
<td>Working within/Learning about Disciplines: a Devolved Model of Academic Skills Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 a.m.-12:45 p.m</td>
<td><strong>Laura Greenfield</strong></td>
<td><strong>Andrea Bausch</strong></td>
<td><strong>Joan Mullin</strong></td>
<td><strong>Joan Mullin</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Situating the Work of Your Writing Center: Finding A Place, Finding a Mission</td>
<td>Zwischen beruflicher Qualifizierung und individueller Unterstützung. Eindrücke aus der Schreibberatungsarbeit mit künftigen (Deutsch-)Lehrkräften an der Universität Bamberg (Between Professional Training and Individualized Support: Impressions from tutoring writing of future (German) teachers at the University of Bamberg, Germany)</td>
<td>Working within/Learning about Disciplines: a Devolved Model of Academic Skills Development</td>
<td>Working within/Learning about Disciplines: a Devolved Model of Academic Skills Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 a.m.-12:45 p.m</td>
<td><strong>Laura Greenfield</strong></td>
<td><strong>Jaqueline Potter, Laurie Lumsden, Tamara O’Connor</strong></td>
<td><strong>Joan Mullin</strong></td>
<td><strong>Joan Mullin</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:45-1:30 p.m.</td>
<td>Closing Session (KG V 103)</td>
<td>Aperitif (foyer of building KG V)</td>
<td>Trip to Breisach - Boat trip on the Rhine with dinner (meet at 2:45 p.m. at the water fountain on campus)</td>
<td>Trip to Breisach - Boat trip on the Rhine with dinner (meet at 2:45 p.m. at the water fountain on campus)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2:45-8:30 p.m.</td>
<td>Trip to Breisach - Boat trip on the Rhine with dinner (meet at 2:45 p.m. at the water fountain on campus)</td>
<td>Trip to Breisach - Boat trip on the Rhine with dinner (meet at 2:45 p.m. at the water fountain on campus)</td>
<td>Trip to Breisach - Boat trip on the Rhine with dinner (meet at 2:45 p.m. at the water fountain on campus)</td>
<td>Trip to Breisach - Boat trip on the Rhine with dinner (meet at 2:45 p.m. at the water fountain on campus)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Save time, money and the planet!

Virtual Presence
Not far away from being there.

Presence - Courses
Video Conference
Web Classroom
Datasharing
Chat
Mail

acovis is member of
www.telecoaching.org

acovis
the art of visual collaboration
www.acovis.com
ABSTRACTS
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Slot 1</th>
<th>Slot 2</th>
<th>Slot 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8-8:45 a.m.</td>
<td>Registration (on campus)</td>
<td>Workshop 2 (full-day)</td>
<td>Workshop 3 (half-day)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Harvey Kail, Diane Boehm, Helen Raica-Klotz, Swenja Granzow</td>
<td>Magnus Gustafsson, Katrin Girgensohn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Writing Center Tutoring: Recruitment, Theory, Training</td>
<td>International Teacher Training Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>“Scriptorium”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9-12 noon</td>
<td>Workshop 1 (full-day)</td>
<td>Workshop 2 (full-day)</td>
<td>Workshop 3 (half-day)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Harvey Kail, Diane Boehm, Helen Raica-Klotz, Swenja Granzow</td>
<td>Harvey Kail, Diane Boehm, Helen Raica-Klotz, Swenja Granzow (cont.)</td>
<td>Magnus Gustafsson, Katrin Girgensohn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Writing Center Tutoring: Recruitment, Theory, Training</td>
<td>Martina Adami et. al (cont.)</td>
<td>International Teacher Training Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>“Scriptorium”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12-2 p.m.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Lunch (Hotel Waldhof)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-5 p.m.</td>
<td>Harvey Kail, Diane Boehm, Helen Raica-Klotz, Swenja Granzow (cont.)</td>
<td>Martina Adami et. al (cont.)</td>
<td>Workshop 4 (half-day)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Valerie Balester, Candace Schaefer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Using Virtual and Uncommon Spaces for Writing Center Work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-7 p.m.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Registration („Mensa“ building)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7-10 p.m.</td>
<td>Welcome party („Mensa“ building) with opening of photo exhibit Writing Places – Writing Spaces and The Writers’ Circus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
International Teacher Training Program “Scriptorium” / Internationales Lehrerfortbildungsprogramm „Scriptorium“

With support of the Sokrates/Comenius Funds of the European Union, an international training program has been developed since 2006 which instructs teachers in the setting up and running of writing and reading centers in elementary and high schools and by this means improves the writing and reading competence of students in all subjects. In addition, the participants in this continuing professional development program are also being prepared to act as trainers to help improve the reading and writing teaching competence of their colleagues. A long-term goal of this program is to set up an international consortium of teacher education institutions issuing a shared certificate.

Main Activities in the project:
• National development and testing of individual training modules
• Testing of the training modules in the context of the international project network
• Development of an electronic portal for individual continuing education
• Setting ground for an international consortium with an integrated training curriculum

Anticipated outcomes by the end of the project (September 2008):
• In general: A new definition of the role of reading and writing in the disciplines and across the curriculum as key skills for successful vocational integration in the European Community.
• In specific: A training program for future initiators and leaders of school-based writing and reading centers in Europe, conceptualized as blended learning through national workshops and distance learning modules via a shared e-learning platform
• In specific: A CD-ROM as an introduction to the electronic portal with training modules and additional content provided for individual continuing education, with service for international exchange of experiences and for individual consultation in regard to writing and reading in primary and secondary education.
Workshop 1 (full-day)

**Writing Center Tutoring: Recruitment, Theory, Training**

Harvey Kail (University of Maine, USA)
Diane Boehm (Saginaw Valley State University, USA)
Helen Raica-Klotz (Saginaw Valley State University, USA)
Swenja Granzow (Universität Hamburg, Germany)

This workshop focused on tutoring in the writing center is a dual presentation by the University of Maine and Saginaw Valley State University. The goal of the workshop is to examine the theory of writing center tutoring along with examining its practical applications in various writing center contexts. The workshop will include with a discussion of collaborative learning theories implicit in writing center work, followed by an indepth discussion about the recruitment and training of tutors in writing centers.

**Morning:**
- Writing Center Models and Tutor Recruitment
- Writing Center models and their varied contexts
- Characteristics of an effective tutor
- Strategies for recruitment and hiring
- Collaborative Learning from Practice to Theory to Practice
- Characteristics of collaborative learning
- Models of mutual aid in the teaching of writing

**Afternoon:**
- Collaborative Learning in the Writing Center
- Theory and Practice: Issues in Writing Centers for Collaborative Learning
- Tutor Training Strategies
- Varied models of tutor training
- Components of effective tutor training
- Materials to support tutor training
- Ongoing tutor training strategies
Featured presentation

Workshop 2 (full-day)

**International Teacher Training Program “Scriptorium”/ Internationales Lehrerfortbildungsprogramm „Scriptorium”**

Martina Adami (Pädagogisches Institut Bozen, Italy)
Gerd Bräuer (Pädagogische Hochschule Freiburg, Germany)
Astrid Beckers (Köln, Germany)
Brady Spangenberg (Purdue University, USA)
Maria Riss (Pädagogische Hochschule FHNW, Switzerland)
Jürgen Feist (Kopernikus-Gymnasium Neubeckum, Germany)
Ingrid Spitz (Tobias-Meyer-Schule, Germany)
Stephan Caspar (Gesamtschule Mettlach-Orscholz, Germany)
Ans Veltmann-Van den Bos (Montessori College Nijmegen, The Netherlands)
Anne-Marie van de Wiel (Montessori College Nijmegen, The Netherlands)
Monika Witt (Panstwowa Wyzsza Szkoła Zawodowa w Nysie, Poland)
Matthew Martin (St. Mary’s University College Belfast, Northern Ireland)
Jonathan Worley (St. Mary’s University College Belfast, Northern Ireland)

This full-day workshop will provide insight into an international training program for in-service high school teachers specializing in writing and reading instruction and writing/reading center development. Participants will learn how to login to the project’s e-learning platform and to make use of the different online tools and modules. They will also learn how to adapt training and teaching materials to the needs of their schools, colleagues, and students. An extension of the already existing network of high school writing/reading centers is anticipated. The workshop will be mostly in German but will also provide oral summaries, print materials, and face-to-face communication in English, French, and the other languages of the project.

In einem Comenius 2.1-Projekt zur Lehrerfortbildung (www.scriptorium-project.org), gefördert durch die Europäische Union, sind seit 2005 neue Konzepte zu schulischem Schreiben und Lesen entwickelt worden. Der Aufbau von Schreiblesezentren soll es möglich machen, neue Erkenntnisse der Schreib- und Leseforschung an der Schule nachhaltig umzusetzen, d.h. prozessorientierte Schreib- und Leseeentwicklung und -förderung fächer- und jahrgangsstufenübergreifend und in heterogenen Lernergruppen zu thematisieren und kontinuierlich zu begleiten.

Die Partnerländer (Deutschland, Niederlande, Irland, Finnland, Polen, Schweiz, Dänemark und Italien) haben insgesamt 9 Module für die Lehrerfortbildung ausgearbeitet, die von Interessierten im Selbststudium (mit Hilfe einer E-Learning-Plattform) bzw. in Präsenzveranstaltungen erarbeitet werden können. Zudem wurden Hilfen für die konkrete Umsetzung der Fortbildungsbausteine im Rahmen der eigenen Schule oder innerhalb von Schulnetzwerken entwickelt.

Durch den Workshop wird es hoffentlich gelingen, das Netzwerk europäischer Schreiblesezentren zu erweitern.


Die folgenden Stationen sind z. Zt. im Workshop vorgesehen:

**Modulmanager (wird im einleitenden Teil des Workshops vermittelt)**

Wie orientiere ich mich im Weiterbildungsprogramm? Welche Fachsprache benötige ich, um mich aktiv am Diskurs zur Schreib- und Leseentwicklung und -förderung beteiligen zu können?

**Konzepte der Schreibanimation**

Wie rege ich zur Schreibtätigkeit an?

Strategien und Materialien zur Ausbildung und Begleitung von Schüler-Schreibberater/innen, zur Entwicklung von Schreibarrangements und Schreibprojekten

**Konzepte der Leseanimation**

Wie rege ich zur Lesetätigkeit an?

Orientierungshilfen für eine umfassende Leseförderung, welche kognitive, emotionale und insbesondere auch kommunikative Kompetenzen im Blick hat

**Entwicklungskonzept Schreiblesezentrum (SLZ)**

Wie baue ich ein SLZ auf?

Erstellung einer Konzeption für den Aufbau von schulischen Schreiblesezentren

**Konzepte für schulinterne und -externe Lehrerfortbildung**

Kurskonzepte für die Weiterbildung von Spezialist:innen im Bereich der Lese- und Schreibförderung

**Fremdsprachiges Lesen und Schreiben**

Wie entwickle ich Fördermaßnahmen für Schreiben und Lesen im Rahmen des Fremdsprachenerwerbs?

**Reflexive Praxis**

Wie initiere, organisiere oder begleite ich reflexive Praxis (von Schüler/innen) als Form metakognitiven Lernens im Unterricht? Wie setze ich Tagebuch, Arbeitsjournal und Portfolio im Unterricht ein?

**Heterogene Lernergruppen**

Wie entwickle ich Maßnahmen zur Kompetenzförderung im Lesen und Schreiben für Schüler/innen aus bildungsfernen (benachteiligten) Kontexten bzw. aus Minoritätengruppen?

**Übergang von Schule zu Studium und Berufsausbildung**

Wie entwickle ich Maßnahmen für die Vorbereitung der Schreib- und Lesekompetenz auf die Anforderungen des nächsten Bildungsabschnitts (Berufsausbildung, Studium)?

**Reflexion lehrerberuflicher Praxis in der Schule**

Wie initiere, organisiere bzw. begleite ich die Reflexion beruflicher Praxis und die gezielte Praxisforschung durch meine Kolleg:innen im Bereich Lesen/Schreiben?
Workshop 3 (half-day)

Exploring the dimensions of academic writing:
Steps toward a faculty seminar to enhance the understanding, definition and development of academic writing

Magnus Gustafsson (Chalmers University of Technology, Sweden)
Katrin Girgensohn (Europa-Universität Viadrina Frankfurt/Oder, Germany)

Influenced both by the writing-to-learn tradition (Bean, 2001; Young, 2006; Dysthe, 1993; Tynjälä, Mason & Lonka, 2001) and cognitive-oriented writing research (Bereiter & Scardamalia, 1987; Gailbraith, 1999; Riljaardsdam et al., 2005), we believe it is important not to reduce academic writing to its rhetorical (transactional) function. Yet in the day-to-day running of courses or centers, we sometimes see evidence of how this is very much the student experience of academic writing. While we believe that all academic writing should integrate different dimensions, like a hedonistic function or a personal function (Girgensohn, 2007), it remains difficult for writing center members or staff to promote this type of understanding beyond the individual tutoring session. Therefore, our workshop wants to explore two different aspects. First of all, we will examine the various dimensions of writing and ask how they can be used to develop writing. Secondly, we want to discuss and explore ways in which we can work with faculty and curricula to promote a more dynamic use of writing.

When you are stuck in your daily writing center routine or work alone, it is often hard to create new ideas and to believe that they can work. So, our workshop aims to use our united creativity and energy to design course elements or activities that help align different dimensions of writing in the disciplines. Our vision is to sketch a seminar program that writing centers can offer to their university faculty members and, in that way, enlist colleagues to help promote a wider use of all dimensions of writing. We hope that this collaborative approach can create something that every workshop participant can take home and offer there. For this reason, we plan to give participants time to exchange both their ideas as well as their apprehensions. The exchange about the newly developed concept can continue online after the EWCA-conference.

The plan for the workshop involves first looking at definitions of academic writing and dimensions of writing in order to explore some of these dimensions and see how they relate to and affect product-oriented presentational writing. Next, we want to discuss and workshop the ways in which multiple dimensions of writing can be incorporated into our day-to-day practice. From there we will move on to sketch a faculty seminar designed to promote such practices and a wider definition of academic writing.
Workshop 4 (half-day)

**Using Virtual and Uncommon Spaces for Writing Center Work**

Valerie Balester (Texas A&M University, USA)
Candace Schaefer (Texas A&M University, USA)

The Texas A&M University Writing Center faces the challenge of providing adequate writing resources for a student population of 48,000 and teaching resources for a faculty of about 2,500. In addition, we must meet the needs of a broad group, including faculty, graduate students, and undergraduates in 60 majors. We built our center upon the premise that a writing center should be, as Waldo (2004) suggests, the appropriate home for a writing-in-the-disciplines program. Our center strives to follow North’s dictum to make “better writers, not better writing” (1984), and we conceive of our space according to Lunsford’s model of “storehouse, garret, and parlor” (1991). We have combined the “storehouse,” “garret,” and “parlor” in a way that encourages active collaboration and reflective and independent learning and that makes resources available “just in time.” We host a multitude of services in a variety of formats: face-to-face and online consultations, electronic handouts, a webliography, podcasts, videos, and workshops. We deliver services in various sites, including consultation centers and classrooms as well as virtually through an online writing lab, wikis, blogs, our Web site, and iTunesU. In choosing technologies, we needed a way of building virtual space that engages both the learner and the tutor in a rich, interactive environment. According to Michael Moore’s theory of transactional distance, in any physical or virtual space, there exists a certain amount of psychological and communications distance between people that must be mitigated for effective learning to take place. In addition, Sherwood’s premise that the “helping personality” of the writing tutor has a dark side helped us understand why some of our tutors resisted moving into virtual space, and his theory guided our efforts as we shaped and reshaped our online tutoring processes and procedures as well as our tutor training.

This workshop will describe the technologies we use to serve students in uncommon spaces as well as our procedures for engaging students online and our tutor training efforts. We will use workshop time to (1) brainstorm about the needs of various stakeholders in participants’ writing centers; (2) discuss how to match needs to available resources; (3) guide participants in developing ideas for electronic handouts, webliographies, podcasts, videos, and workshops to promote writing center goals across their own campuses; and (4) provide hands-on practice with some of our resources. Our focus will be not only on what resources to develop and why, but also on how to do so within budget. Participants will receive information about low-cost and effective ways to build their own resources.
Welcome Party

All conference participants are welcome to gather to get to know each other or to catch up with old friends while enjoying food, drinks, and great music. This event will also bring the opening of the photo exhibit, Writing Places – Writing Spaces, generously sponsored by Ulrike Lange (Schreibzentrum, Universität Bochum/Germany). There will also be a great opportunity to write creatively and collaboratively at the Writer’s Circus, directed by Katrin Girgensohn, Franziska Liebetanz, and Nadia Nejjar from the Schreibzentrum, Europa-Universität Viadrina Frankfurt/O. /Germany.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Slot 1</th>
<th>Slot 2</th>
<th>Slot 3</th>
<th>Slot 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8-11 a.m.</td>
<td>Registration (&quot;Mensa&quot; building)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9-9:30 a.m.</td>
<td>Opening (KG V 103)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9:30-10:30 a.m.</td>
<td>Keynote presentation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Michele Eodice</strong></td>
<td>**Student Writers/</td>
<td><strong>Student Learning</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Student Learning</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:30-11:00 a.m.</td>
<td>Coffee Break (foyer of building KG V)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time</td>
<td>Event</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 a.m. - 12:30 p.m.</td>
<td><strong>Workshop</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Tiane Donahue</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Secondary and Post-secondary Collaboration:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Implications of the “Calderwood Conversations” for Writing Center Work</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Jana Zegenhagen, Irene Pieper</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Die Lese-Schreib-Werkstatt der Universität Hildesheim - Konzeption und Startphase (The Writing/Reading Workshop at Hildesheim University (Germany): Concept and Initial Implementation)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Afra Sturm</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Schreibberatung und Schreibkompetenzabklärung: ein Widerspruch? (Tutoring Writing and Assessing Writing Competency - A Contradiction?)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Workshop</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Leigh Ryan, Trixie G. Smith, Pamela Childers</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Teacher/Tutor/Scholar/MENTOR: Perspectives on Mentoring to Facilitate Professional Development</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Anastasia Logotheti</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Just the Two of Us: Coordinating Writing Centers across Schools and Campuses</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Maria Jerskey</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Using the Logic Model as a Process Tool to Map, Measure, and Evaluate Writing Center Programs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Piet-Hein van de Ven</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Developing and Researching LenS – a Reading and Writing Centre</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:30-1:30 p.m.</td>
<td><strong>Lunch (“Mensa”)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Michele Eodice is the Director of the Writing Center and Writing Across the Curriculum at the University of Oklahoma. With her partner Kami Day she wrote (First Person)2: A Study of Co-Authoring in the Academy (Utah State University Press 2001). Currently she serves as the vice president of the International Writing Centers Association (president Fall 2007 to Fall 2009), co-editor of Kansas English and associate editor of development for The Writing Center Journal.

Michele was a leader and co-chair of the International Writing Centers Summer Institute for Writing Center Directors and Professionals for three years and recently published a book with four other writing center directors: The Everyday Writing Center: A Community of Practice (Utah State University Press). She is the recipient of the 2006 National Conference on Peer Tutoring in Writing’s Ron Maxwell Award for Distinguished Leadership in Promoting the Collaborative Learning Practices of Peer Tutors in Writing.
We say we focus on “student writing” in our writing center work, yet we don’t have much research to show how well we actually improve student writers and their writing. One way we might gain some real footing in both our practices and our research is to shift our focus from student texts to student learning. The writing center environment is a site for learning more than just where the comma goes; using a learning modes model from David Thornburg’s Campfires in Cyberspace, I propose an expanded vision of what kinds of learning can take place in a writing center. With our peer tutors or professional consultants taking a more active teaching role we can construct practices that take the student through modes of learning that go beyond the text itself.
Workshop

**Secondary and Post-Secondary Collaboration: Implications of the “Calderwood Conversations” for Writing Center Work**

Tiane Donahue (University of Maine Farmington, USA)

In the United States, the transition from secondary to post-secondary school writing is often described as jarring, a gap, a leap. In 2005-2006, a series of eight get-togethers among secondary and post-secondary school writing teachers was organized in Maine to explore eight relevant shared themes of interest: the writing process, grammar, plagiarism, assignment prompts, genres, writing in different disciplines, voice and authenticity, and evaluation of writing. The purpose of the conversations (titled Calderwood Conversations for the grant agency supporting them) was to create spaces for open discussion and sharing of strategies for teaching writing and for improving students’ transition from one context to the next. Each meeting was recorded, and the discussion threads that I am now studying were notable for their seamlessness: speakers were neither “secondary” nor “postsecondary” in their interests and approaches. What was clear, however, was that secondary school is constrained by specific limits and policies (preparation for certain exams, addressing a broader base of needs, held to outcomes that set the curriculum…) that influence teachers’ practices, while postsecondary institutions benefit from much more freedom of both choice and approach.

These same constraints shape what is possible in a writing center. Secondary/postsecondary writing center directors, teachers and tutors can work to exchange not only practices but understandings of the situated nature of their work. The session will describe the process we used to create the Calderwood Conversations and the results we observed. It will then turn to extended group discussion with participants about how such conversations might be organized by writing centers, what the key questions or themes might be, and how different institutional factors in the broad variety of contexts from which EWCA members come play a role in the nature of secondary/postsecondary connections, disconnections, and collaborations.
Die Universität Hildesheim hat einen hohen Anteil an Lehramtsstudierenden in den Geisteswissenschaften. Diese erwerben fachwissenschaftliche und fachdidaktische Kompetenzen, die sie als Multiplikatoren in den Schulen einsetzen. Hier sehen wir für die Hochschule eine besondere Verantwortung, neben den Fachkompetenzen das Erleben, Reflektieren und Weiterentwickeln schriftlicher und literarischer Kompetenzen zu fördern sowie Forschungsergebnisse direkt in die Schulen einzubringen.

Wir konzeptionierten eine Lese-Schreib-Werkstatt (LSW) mit dem Ziel der Förderung der Lese- und Schreibkultur für die Zielgruppen der (Lehramts-) Studierenden, Schüler und Lehrer.


Im Bereich der Fortbildung möchten wir zusammen mit Lehrern die Potentiale moderner Fachdidaktik kreativ zur Anwendung bringen, LSW’s und Projekte konzipieren sowie Materialien und Methoden vermitteln.

Studierende aller Fachgebiete sollen in unserer LSW das Schreiben in seinen heuristischen, kommunikativen, persönlichkeitsfördernden, rhetorischen und hedonistischen Funktionen (Girgensohn 2007) erleben können und so ihre literarische, ihre Schreib- und Methodenkompetenz entwickeln. Hierzu werden ausgebildete Tutoren verschiedene Angebotsformate mit tragen, z.B. Schreibberatungen, Online-Schreibtutorien, Workshops, Lesungen...

Der Beitrag stellt unsere Konzeption und ersten Erfolge vor. Damit wollen wir zur phantasievollen Umsetzung solcher Writing Centers ermutigen, eine Verknüpfungsmöglichkeit fachlicher (WID), disziplinübergreifender (WAC) sowie universitätsübergreifender Angebote veranschaulichen. Wir erhoffen uns von der Diskussion Anregungen und Impulse für die weitere Arbeit und wissenschaftliche Begleitforschung.
Schreibberatung und Schreibkompetenzabklärung: ein Widerspruch?
Afra Sturm (Pädagogische Hochschule FHNW, Switzerland)

In diesem Beitrag sollen die Schreibberatung der Pädagogischen Hochschule der FHNW und die von ihr durchgeführten Abklärungen der Schreibkompetenz bei StudienanfängerInnen vorgestellt und diskutiert werden. Der im Herbst 07 zum ersten Mal eingesetzte Fragebogen, mit dem die Selbstschätzung der Studierenden hinsichtlich ihrer Schreibkompetenzen erfragt wurde, wird ebenfalls präsentiert.

Die Ergebnisse werden anschliessend in Bezug zur leitenden Fragestellung gestellt: Verhalten sich Schreibberatung an einer pädagogischen Hochschule und eine Schreibkompetenzabklärung widersprüchlich zueinander?


Eine Schreibkompetenzabklärung mit einem Fragebogen zur Selbstschätzung kann dazu wertvolle Informationen liefern und aufzeigen, in welche Richtung die Angebote oder Bestrebungen einer Schreibberatung ausgebaut werden müssten. In diesem Sinne können sich beide Elemente sinnvoll ergänzen.
Workshop

Teacher/Tutor/Scholar/MENTOR: Perspectives on Mentoring to Facilitate Professional Development

Leigh Ryan (University of Maryland, USA)
Trixie G. Smith (Michigan State University, USA)
Pamela Childers (The McCallie High School, USA)

In *Going Public: Priorities and Practice at the Manhattan School* (Heinemann, 2001) Shirley Harwayne emphasizes the importance of teachers’ scholarly lives, which allows them to share literacies not only with their students, but also with their professional colleagues, both in their schools and in the larger teaching profession. Many teachers, however, don’t know how to seek and use knowledge effectively in their teaching; they read books in isolation, get lost in the myriad resources available, and attend one-size-fits-all programs offered by their school systems. One way to address this issue is through asking effective, well-informed teachers to mentor less experienced or knowledgeable teachers. This same approach applies to and benefits writing center tutors.

This interactive workshop will explore ways in which teachers/administrators can mentor others, including partnering with them in educational research. We will begin by talking briefly about the origin of the term mentor, beginning with Athena, who disguised as Mentês, comes to Odysseus’ son, Telemachus, to serve as his advisor. We will then ask participants two questions: 1) If you could have the ideal mentor, what would he or she do as your mentor? and 2) What qualities would this mentor possess?

Using participants’ responses, we will explore different forms of mentoring, specifically formal mentoring (“planned”) as practiced through structured programs like the National Writing Project, and informal mentoring (“natural”) as it occurs in professional settings. Participants will look at the roles of those serving as mentors and those being mentored, and will consider mentoring not only as a means of supporting and challenging individuals, but also as a way to leverage the potential of both individuals and groups to advance their professional practice.

In guiding the discussion, we will pay attention to facilitating professional development broadly as members of a profession, and in particular locations, like writing centers and classrooms. We will also focus on mentoring to help individuals navigate aspects of ethical research in writing centers, such as informed consent and conflict of interest issues. Finally we will ask participants to begin thinking through and creating their own models of mentoring for their particular areas of expertise.

As veteran writing center directors, teachers, and scholars, we bring many and varied mentoring experiences and ideas to this presentation. We hope that by sharing our thoughts and inviting participants to contribute theirs in an interactive environment, we can promote a productive exchange of ideas that benefits us all.
Just the Two of Us:  
Coordinating Writing Centers across Schools and Campuses  
Anastasia Logotheti (American College of Greece, Greece)

The administration of a writing center at an American institution of higher learning is a versatile affair, the complexity of which has been acknowledged but not extensively discussed in the existing literature (Leahy 1990; Kinkead 1993; Harris 2002). As Pamela Childers notes in 2001, writing center administration involves not only constant engagement with pedagogical and methodological issues but also readiness to adapt to new challenges. When the Writing Center serves the needs of an American institution located in an EU country, then directing such a service requires the academic who becomes an administrator to adjust a best-practices approach to the needs of this hybrid institution. In addition to teaching duties, the faculty member who coordinates such a writing center is expected to manage the day-to-day operation as well as to engage in meaningful tutor training which will ensure effective learning practices. As the institution grows, further challenges the writing center director faces may include coordinating centers on two campuses and catering to the needs of students from more than one levels of education. How are the duties of a writing center director to be performed efficiently across campuses and schools?

As the Coordinator of the two Writing Centers of the American College of Greece since 2003, I would like to present the administrative model I have established as well as the specific measures I have introduced to facilitate the successful operation of the ACG Writing Centers which cater to the needs of undergraduates, graduate students and alumni of Deree College, Junior College and the Graduate school. My presentation, which will outline aspects related both to tutoring pedagogy (staffing, training, tutoring practices) and to practical issues (assessment, use of technology, scheduling), aims at offering concrete advice to other administrators and tutors on how to adjust this paradigm to their own institutional needs.
Writing Centers historically need to justify their existence by framing assessments in a language that translates into continued funding. As a result, addressing two questions at the heart of most writing centers’ missions—“How does the writing center impact student writing?” and “How has the writing center impacted students’ improvement as writers?”—has taken a backseat to more quantitative annual reports (i.e., results of student satisfaction surveys, faculty surveys, number of visits, number of student hours, number of courses served, retention numbers, grades received, etc.). While these reports result in continued (albeit contingent) funding, this putative assessment undermines the writing center’s integrity as a site of intellectual inquiry and perpetuates the misperception of the writing center as a mere service facility.

By introducing an assessment model that sheds light on the most meaningful writing center activities and allows for their authentic evaluation, assessment becomes imaginative, open-ended, reflective, and revisable. It generates outcomes that can be measured and succinctly articulated to multiple audiences including tutors, provosts, deans, prospective donors, academic departments, academic support units, and to student writers themselves.

This visually interactive presentation contrasts assessment models at odds with writing centers’ mission with the logic model. It includes a multimedia “walk-through” of how logic models can be mapped for individual writing centers as well as handouts. A discussion of how assessment practices can be employed to transform perceptions of writing centers will conclude the presentation.
At the Montessori College Nijmegen, in the Netherlands, teachers of the Dutch language started a reading and writing centre, characterized by a process-oriented writing education, peer tutoring, cross age tutoring, and tutor training. At present the focus is on writing, with a writing across the curriculum objective in the upper forms of secondary education.

LenS development is sustained by practice related research, by the ILS, the Graduate School of Education, Radboud University, Nijmegen.

The Montessori teachers of the Dutch language and literature participated (2001-2004) in a practice related research by ILS, focussing on innovation in writing education. In that research, interaction between students (group writing, tutoring, discussion) appeared to be successful for supporting their writing. Experimenting, discussing results, theoretical orientation and above all interaction between teachers from different schools stimulated teachers’ professional development.


The newly started project of developing and researching LenS is designed as a cycle of professional development in which experiencing, problem analysis, experimenting, data sampling and analyzing, evaluation, and theoretical orientation is realized in co-operation with the teachers (and students) involved. Students’ learning results and students’ texts function as data for the teachers’ professional development. The theoretical framework represents, among other orientations, theories and empirical findings on writing, language across the curriculum, learning, and teachers’ professional development. The paper presents some first results from development and research.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Event</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1:15 - 2:30 p.m.</td>
<td>Poster Presentations („Mensa“ building)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>In the beginning of this guided tour through the poster market, the new peer tutors of the Freiburg Writing Center will receive their certification. Poster presenters, please give a 5 minute introduction to your poster when we pass by your stand and remain available for questions during the entire time slot. Thank you. Please meet at 1:15 in front of the Freiburg Writing Center where also Conference Registration is located.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Jens-Henning Jenkner**

Zur Erarbeitung elektronischer Portfolios mit digitalen Medien der Textproduktion im Deutschunterricht – Untersuchung eines Hauptschulprojektes zur Begleitung der Berufsorientierung

(Creating E-Portfolios with Digital Media for Text Production in the L1 Classroom: Study of a Middle School Project on Facilitating Students’ Orientation toward their Future Professions)

**Eva-Maria Jakobs, Matthias Knopp**

The “Interdisciplinary Web Portal: Text Production and Writing Research”

**Dale Wik**

Writing Centres: The Evolutionary Journey from the Margins to the Core of the Institution

**Richard Kent**  (He will not be present at the conference. Please join us for an Internet session with Richard Kent at 4 p.m., slot 1.)

What’s happening in Maine? Creating Student-Staffed Writing Centers in the State of Maine through University, School, and National Writing Project Collaboration

**Deborah McDowell Aoki, Kyoko Morikoshi**

Writing Center Development at a Japanese University.

**Sonja Bischoff, Carl Bossard, Silke Buchali, Gerd Bräuer, Bianca Lenz**

Multikultureller Dialog zwischen studentischen SchreibberaterInnen in Zug/Schweiz und Freiburg/Deutschland

(Multicultural Dialogue Between Peer Writing Tutors at the Universities of Education in Zug (Switzerland) and Freiburg (Germany))

**Katrin Girgensohn**

Scientific Competence through Diversity

**Ljerka Rados**

Writing-Intensive Business English Courses
Zur Erarbeitung elektronischer Portfolios mit digitalen Medien der Textproduktion im Deutschunterricht – Untersuchung eines Hauptschulprojektes zur Begleitung der Berufsorientierung

Jens-Henning Jenkner (Pädagogische Hochschule Freiburg, Germany)

Das von mir vorzustellende Poster soll die Ergebnisse meiner Qualifizierungsarbeit (Zulassungsarbeit im Lehramtsstudium, augenblicklich in Arbeit) zusammenfassend darstellen.


The “Interdisciplinary Web Portal: Text Production and Writing Research”

Eva-Maria Jakobs (Rheinisch-Westfälische Technische Hochschule Aachen, Germany)
Matthias Knopp (Rheinisch-Westfälische Technische Hochschule Aachen, Germany)

Initial Point:
Text production and writing research are highly interdisciplinary and diversified fields of research. Depending on the discipline (linguistics, psychology, sociology etc.), theoretical models, research interests and empirical methods differ. It is equally difficult to access overviews of research results, to spot (new) coherences and to find distinctions between different approaches. These difficulties are, among other things, due to a lack of accessibility. Especially the research of German speaking researchers is widely spread across and array of books that are difficult to access. Printed articles are rarely published in (electronic) periodicals. Furthermore, instruments for the recognition of content-related or methodical coherences are lacking as well as a synoptic view of the heterogeneous research field.

Overall purpose:
This presentation aims to introduce the DFG-funded project “Interdisciplinary Web Portal: Text Production and Writing Research”. Its idea is to develop and establish an innovative open-access research portal. It focuses on text production and writing research. The aim of the portal is to link the scattered research. For this purpose, it offers different instruments like search engines (topic-, author-, discipline-related etc.) and benefits from innovative meta-data concept, data mining-techniques and visualization tools like semantic networks and topic maps.

The portal is a powerful instrument for the work in writing centres. In addition to an overview of the basic solutions provided by the portal, the presentation will showcase up-to-date results of a survey focusing on the needs and requirements of the scientific community.
Writing Centres: The Evolutionary Journey from the Margins to the Core of the Institution

Dale Wik (Malaspina University-College, Canada)

The Writing Centre at Malaspina University-College (MU-C) represents in a microcosmic way the transition of writing centres in North America from a peripheral role in the institution in the 1970s to recognition of their vital, if not central, role today. This poster presentation traces the evolutionary steps of the MU-C Writing Centre from its fringe status as an arm of the English Department with a sole function of delivering tutorials to its current status as a freestanding department with a diversity of roles and a mission to influence the writing climate across campus, to become, as Neal Lerner suggests, a “locus of consciousness about writing.”

Like all journeys, this one was not a steady progression towards an inevitable destination, but one fraught with setbacks and defeats. One partial defeat was an attempt in the mid-nineties to implement a Writing Across the Curriculum movement. Viewed with skepticism at MU-C as an imperializing force seeking to invade departmental territory (a suspicion that continued well beyond the end of the WAC program), the WAC movement failed to attract support from disciplines outside the English Department. Following the work of Susan McLeod (under whom I studied at Washington State University’s Composition and Rhetoric Program) I, as co-ordinator of an emerging and now-autonomous writing centre, was able to tailor a new approach to the climate of the institution: a series of Writing in the Disciplines seminars. By allying the centre with successful and accepted initiatives in other departments and by building support person-by-person in departments across campus in areas as diverse as the sciences and nursing, I was able to locate the writing centre within the institutional culture as cooperative, responsive, and vital to meeting concerns about student writing across campus.
What’s happening in Maine? Creating Student-Staffed Writing Centers in the State of Maine through University, School, and National Writing Project Collaboration

Richard Kent (University of Maine, USA)

Richard Kent will not be available at the poster presentation. Those interested, please join us for an online round table discussion with Richard Kent on Friday, June 20, at 4 p.m. in KG V/103.

Over the past two years, a dozen or more high school staffs in Maine have studied the possibility of creating student-staffed writing centers. By the fall of 2008, there will be six, student-staffed high school writing centers in the state with another six in various stages of development. In 2006, there were no student-staffed writing centers in Maine’s 118 public high schools, though there was one faculty-staffed writing center and one student-staffed center in an independent school (private school).

What’s happening in Maine? Why has the National Writing Project shown interest in and dedicated funds toward the work happening within the state?

This round table discussion will feature information about the collaboration of the Maine Writing Project, University of Maine College of Education and Human Development, University of Maine Writing Center, the National Writing Project, and Maine secondary schools to promote and develop writing centers in Maine secondary schools.

After introductory remarks by the facilitator about the school-university collaboration and the National Writing Project’s emerging support of secondary writing centers in Maine and throughout the United States, participants will be asked to share experiences and ideas, questions and concerns about potential partnerships in an effort to build the capacity of student-staffed, secondary school writing centers in their regions and/or countries.
Writing Center Development at a Japanese University

Deborah McDowell Aoki (Hokusei Gakuen University, Japan)
Kyoko Morikoshi (Hokusei Gakuen University, Japan)

The English Department of Hokusei Gakuen University Junior College established a small writing lab as a pilot project in 2005 through a grant from the Ministry of Education of Japan. We originally conceptualized our center as a safe, comfortable site where students could receive assistance in writing essays and reports. Although this theoretical orientation of the role of writing centers as “safe places” has been challenged, we have found that the “safe zone” approach has been successful in assisting Japanese students in learning how to improve their writing in English. During our presentation, we will detail the difficulties and challenges of establishing a small writing lab and managing it with a limited budget and human resources. We will also present the results of a student research survey conducted in 2006 which illustrates the students’ positive reactions toward the writing lab program. Additionally, we will explicate the comments from writing tutors regarding the special needs of Japanese EFL students. Basically, our findings suggest that support from faculty is essential to encourage students to utilize the lab. Also, we discovered that tutors must exhibit flexibility in their roles, and also need to be supportive and friendly to attract students and make them feel “safe” in showing their written reports and essays to teachers; this is particularly important in the context of Japanese culture and society, and we will address this in our presentation. Lastly, we will discuss our current goal for the continued development of the writing center, which is to more tightly integrate the center with specialized English content courses offered at our college. We view this as our second stage in the ongoing evolution of our unique (our college is only one of six universities in Japan which offers a full-time writing lab) program.
Multikultureller Dialog zwischen studentischen SchreibberaterInnen in Zug/Schweiz und Freiburg/Deutschland

Sonja Bischoff (Pädagogische Hochschule Zentralschweiz, Switzerland)
Carl Bossard (Pädagogische Hochschule Zentralschweiz, Switzerland)
Silke Buchali (Pädagogische Hochschule Freiburg, Germany)
Gerd Bräuer (Pädagogische Hochschule Freiburg, Germany)
Bianca Lenz (Pädagogische Hochschule Freiburg, Germany)

Das Ziel dieser gemeinsamen Poster-Präsentation besteht darin, neben einer allgemeinen Vorstellung unserer Arbeit in der Schreibberatung an den Pädagogischen Hochschulen Zug (Schweiz) und Freiburg (Deutschland), die sprachlichen und (bildungs-)kulturellen Besonderheiten und Bedürfnisse von akademisch Schreibenden an unseren beiden Institutionen herauszuarbeiten. Mit Bezugnahme auf beratungsdidaktische Erkenntnisse aus Bruce/Raffoth (2008, 2. Auflage) wollen zeigen, mit welchen speziellen Beratungsmethoden und -techniken wir auf die Bedürfnisse unserer StudentInnen reagieren und in welchen Bereichen wir in unserer Beratungspraxis voneinander lernen können. Dabei wollen wir die folgenden konkreten Fragen beantworten:

Welche Rolle spielt Schreiben traditionell im Studium an den beiden Einrichtungen? Wie verändert sich diese Rolle für die Studierenden durch die Schreibberatung?

Welche Erwartungen werden mit der Begegnung zwischen studentischen SchreibberaterInnen und ihren Peers von beiden Seiten verbunden?

Wie gehen die Zuger SchreibberaterInnen mit dem Transfer vom Schweizerdeutsch zum Hochdeutsch um? Wie die Freiburger mit der stetig wachsenden Zahl derer, die Deutsch als Fremd- oder Zweisprache schreiben?

Welche Beratungsbedürfnisse zeigen unsere Peers in der Annäherung an Wissenschaftssprache? Wie verändern sich die Anforderungen, wenn in einer anderen Sprache, z.B. Englisch, geschrieben werden muss?

Welche Textsorten des Studiums und der Berufsvorbereitung spielen in Zug und Freiburg bei der Beratung eine Rolle? Welche Textsorten sollten in Zukunft in der Ausbildung gestärkt werden?

Wie sehen Lehrpersonen die Schreibberatung und wie greifen sie dieses Angebot in ihren Seminaren auf?
Scientific Competence through Diversity
Katrin Girgensohn (Europa-Universität Viadrina Frankfurt/Oder, Germany)

This poster will introduce a Writing Center Project for German and international Ph.D. students. As a result of the internationalisation of scientific cultures, the European countries receive an increasing amount of international PhD students. At the Viadrina Universität in Frankfurt/Oder as many as 30% of the PhD students are not German.

Writing a PhD in a foreign country is a demanding task as the students must navigate in an unknown language and scientific environment. In Germany, they are confronted with a scientific culture which is generally marked by a great degree of freedom and academic independence. The lack of intensive tutor programs and PhD courses leaves many international PhD students alone with their questions and problems.

The Writing Center Project “Scientific Competence through Diversity” aims at integrating international PhD students not only professionally, but also socially. It merges modular trainings for the development of scientific writing skills with training methods for the increase of intercultural competence and conflict management skills.

Apart from the trainings, the second basis is the Scientific Peer Coaching: small mixed groups of PhD students who meet regularly for exchange and peer feedback. They apply the work methods introduced in the trainings in a cooperative and supportive way up until the completion of their thesis as well as beyond it.

During the process, international and German students alike will benefit in various regards: Apart from the scientific and social integration of the international PhD students, they will develop awareness for the diversity of scientific cultures and promote their own intercultural scientific competence.
Writing-Intensive Business English Courses

Ljerka Rados (University of Osijek, Croatia)

Students and scholars will always need to write in their native languages, no matter how ‘small’ or obscure these languages may be. However, it is indisputable that the need for writing in foreign languages as well is growing, in particular English as the lingua franca of both the scientific and business communities.

Concerning English as a foreign language, writing is by far the weakest skill of Croatian students. Even people who are quite fluent in English are sub-standard when it comes to writing. One of the reasons for this is the significantly different style of academic writing prevalent in Croatian. This writing style tends to be directly transposed into English, as there is a tendency to translate the original text from Croatian almost literally. Apart from scholars involved in English studies, hardly anyone writes an English text directly in the English language.

There are no writing centers as such at Croatian universities, nor are there training programmes for experts who would work in these centers. Since faculties and university departments are completely independent, there is a huge variety of writing practices among them, starting from the mechanics such as listing references, to the writing style. As Croatia started a comprehensive university reform in line with the Bologna Declaration three years ago, it is a pity that writing programs were not included in university syllabuses, at least at graduate level.

This poster will present some practical issues surrounding writing in English as a foreign language at the Faculty of Economics in Osijek, Croatia. There is a proposal to make some Business English courses more writing-intensive.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Slot 1</th>
<th>Slot 2</th>
<th>Slot 3</th>
<th>Slot 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Focus: Institution  
KG V 103 | Focus: Curriculum  
KG II 014 | Focus: Training  
KG IV 222 | Focus: Institution  
KG IV 301 |
| **2:45-3:45 p.m.**  
Keynote presentation (2 parts)  
Pamela Childers  
Writing Centers: A Natural Connection between Secondary and Adult Education  
Dilek Tokay  
Synergy in 'University - Secondary/ High School' Writing Center Collaborations and Joint Initiatives | --- | --- | --- |
| **3:45-4 p.m.**  
Coffee Break (foyer of building KG V) | --- | --- | --- |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Slot</th>
<th>Focus: Institution</th>
<th>Venue</th>
<th>Featured Presentation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Slot 1 | Institution | KG V / 103 | Virtual round table  
Richard Kent  
What’s happening in Maine? Creating Student-Staffed Writing Centers in the State of Maine, School, and National Writing Project Collaboration |
| Slot 2 | Curriculum | KG II / 014 | Virtual presentation (Internet)  
Karen Boozer, Lauren Fitzgerald, Patricia Melei  
Building Bridges to Writing beyond the Curriculum: Outreach in High School and University Writing Centers |
| Slot 3 | Training | KG IV / 222 | ... |
| Slot 4 | Institution | KG IV / 301 | ... |

---

6-10 p.m. Freiburg city tour with dinner (meet at 6 p.m. at the water fountain on campus)
Keynote speakers:

**Pamela Childers**, International Writing Centers Association (IWCA) Board Member and past president, has created and directed writing-across-the-curriculum (WAC)-based centers at both public and independent secondary schools for more than 25 years. In her current position, she directs the WAC program, teaches writing courses and team teaches an interdisciplinary senior science seminar at an all-boys’ college preparatory secondary school. Pam also teaches the teaching of writing course in the graduate program at Lesley University in Cambridge, Massachusetts.

A long-time advocate of writing centers, writing across the curriculum, high school-college collaborations, connections between the visuals and writing, and computers and composition, Pam has consulted with secondary schools and universities throughout the world. She has given over a hundred keynotes, workshops and presentations at regional, national and international conferences of EATAW, International Federation of Teachers of English (IFTE), National Council of Teachers of English (NCTE), Conference on College Composition and Communication (CCCC), National Science Teachers Association (NSTA), National Association of Independent Schools (NAIS), Writing Across the Curriculum (WAC), and IWCA, and served on numerous executive boards, committees, and editorial boards. She serves as an Executive Editor of The Clearing House and on the NCTE Board of Consultants and WAC Board of Consultants.

Pam edited a collection of international articles on secondary school writing centers for The Clearing House (2006). Her other publications include extensive articles and chapters (many in award-winning publications), The High School Writing Center: Establishing and Maintaining One (NWCA Outstanding Scholarship Award), Programs and Practices: Writing Across the Secondary School Curriculum (with Gere and Young), ARTiculating: Teaching Writing in a Visual World (with Hobson and Mullin), and two poetry collections. Her regular columns include “Compass Points” in Southern Discourse and “Secondary School Communication Across the Curriculum” in Across the Disciplines (wac.colostate.edu/atd). Her current interests focus on collaborative writing and teaching with colleagues and students across disciplines, the connections between writing and the visuals, international college-high school collaborations, and creativity in the writing center. Pam and Dilek Tokay have worked together on several projects including workshop sessions at the International WAC Conference at Clemson University (2006) and at University of Texas-Austin (2008).
Keynote speakers:

**Dilek Tokay**, European Writing Centers Association (EWCA) Board Member and past chair, has been involved in skills development—ELT, rhetoric & composition, expository writing, and teaching English through literature for 37 years at the Middle East Technical University (METU), Bosphorus University (BU), and Sabanci University (SU), as instructor, curriculum designer, materials producer, director, RSA Diploma professional development trainer, and writing center program designer. She has created and directed numerous courses, seminars, workshops for ESL methodology, pedagogy, curriculum design, and professional development for state organizations, secondary schools, and taken part in many accreditations, particularly focusing on needs analysis and university-high school linkages. She has been responsible for the Undergraduate Programs at SU Writing Center, which functions with four different programs through standard and WAC workshops, adjuncts, tutorials, study groups, and TA training since its foundation in 2001. Until present, Tokay has been instrumental in SUWC’s outreach strategies as conference/seminar organizer, website designer, and facilitator.

Tokay’s participation in EWCA Board in 2003 has made her a stronger advocate of writing centers, WIC & Writing across the Curriculum (WAC), and high school-university collaborations. She has undertaken the design/update of the EWCA Website since then and presented at many local/international conferences and conventions. Her presentation materials at EATAW I, II; SUWC Regional, EWCA 2005, 2006; WAC 6, 7, 8; Azerbaijan Convention; MEF ELT; Bilken MAC Business; INGED; CCCC 2007, Athens First International Writing Centers Conference, have been displayed on websites, and the new interactions at each event have brought collaborations similar to the recent INTERWAC Network Board of consultants, meeting at the CCCC 2008.

Having seen the function of the SUWC, EWCA, and the three EWCA conference websites, and developed congenial professional ties with many prominent and novice writing center advocates, she values the joy of interaction through any medium. Sharing much of this joy with Pam Childers, here they are together again, voicing their thoughts on the necessity of university-secondary education collaborations concerning WAC, almost a life-long mission!

**Rich Kent** is an assistant professor at the University of Maine and director of the Maine Writing Project. He is the author of *A Guide to Creating Student-Staffed Writing Centers, Grades 6-12*, site administrator of the informational portal Creating a Student-Staffed Writing Center, and the author of seven other books, including *Room 109: The Promise of a Portfolio Classroom*, *Beyond Room 109: Developing Independent Study Projects*, and *Teaching the Neglected “R”: Rethinking Writing Instruction in Secondary Classrooms* with Thomas Newkirk.

Featured presentation:
Keynote presentation

Writing Centers: A Natural Connection from Secondary to Adult Education

Pamela Childers (The McCallie School, USA)

In the 1980s Malcolm Knowles, father of adult education, defined the term andragogy as the “art of helping people learn” and wrote about it in contrast to pedagogy, “the art or profession of teaching.” Later in his studies, he realized that these two terms were complementary; that is, he saw them working together, in harmony. I like the idea that we need both; otherwise, we may teach our fool heads off without ever knowing whether our students have learned anything, or we may facilitate their learning without teaching them fundamentals they need to ask questions leading them to authentic research. Knowles also predicted that one day we would have community centers of learning so that from birth to death we could all learn together regardless of age or academic credentials. I see these ideas as exactly what writing centers naturally do, often without even realizing it. This talk will focus on the natural connections between writing centers and teaching and learning at all academic levels and all ages.

Keynote presentation

Synergy in ‘University - Secondary/High School’ Writing Center Collaborations and Joint Initiatives

Dilek Tokay (Sabanci University, Turkey)

As it is clear in the Dean’s European Academic Network Conference Proceedings of ESMU [European Centre for Strategic Management of Universities] and in the many NCTE, CCCC, IWCA, and IWAC conference themes in the US, a changing world demands that universities transform themselves from vertical university models to horizontal matrix modern or technopolis universities functioning as centers of innovation and academic entrepreneurialism. In line with this demand of a knowledge society, interdisciplinarity, permeability, reaching primary and secondary education, and society in general, and laying the groundwork for the versatility and longevity of collaborations are all musts. Therefore, it should be a part of the mission of universities to transfer what is learned from program design, implementation, research, and assessment to promote policies for institutional change, and provide interaction with society as a whole. This means a leading role in strategic management of teaching-learning methodologies, quality issues, research, and improvement in all stages of education. Faculty and management as initiators of collaboration with primary and secondary education should respond to the visions, aspirations, expectations, challenges, disappointments or pitfalls in curriculum design, implementation both in the classroom and learning/reading and writing units/centers/labs, professional development, choice or production of materials concerning skills development and literacy at an early age through joint projects that turn to institutionalized services.
This talk will focus on the NEED for awareness and initiatives of the faculty as well as the administrators/senior managers in higher education for the changing context in which universities operate concerning globalization, Bologna Process, strategic and change management, financial management, human resource strategy, information and communication technologies to establish connections with secondary education for the creation of the "global-self" from an early age through reinforcement of analytical and critical thinking, reading-writing, and presentation skills. A writing/learning center’s aim is to provide this reinforcement firstly within the institution, the NEED for the SHARING of methodologies with others at conferences or through research and publications, services, and exchange programs will be discussed looking at education from a holistic perspective. Two sample "secondary/high school - university linkages" for the foundation of secondary writing centers and revision in skills development programs will be presented to the scrutiny of the conference participants. They will be asked to evaluate the utility of the collaborations starting as an individual initiative and action plan concerning needs analysis surveys, professional development workshops, and series of consultation sessions for program modifications. After collecting suggestions from the participants through group brainstorming on WHAT can be done for good linkages, HOW they can be achieved, and with WHOM, and presenting suggestions based on individual experience, the lead-in question will be on the institutionalization of individual efforts. Sharing the positive aspects and concerns about what is piloted in university-secondary linkages with the participants, significance of outreach strategies through conferences, research, publications, and exchange programs will be discussed with emphasis on European research and exchange possibilities through organizations such as IRSES [Marie Curie Actions International Research Staff Exchange Scheme] and COST whose objective is to stimulate new, innovative, and interdisciplinary scientific networks in Europe through activities [Actions] that are carried out by research teams. Thus, the keynote message will be: sharing the results of cutting-edge developments in university-secondary skills development/literacy programs, and writing center practices for applicability at different institutions to keep growing more connected, benefiting from the synergy for building scientific and educational excellence, which will mean societal growth.
Virtual round table

What’s happening in Maine? Creating Student-Staffed Writing Centers in the State of Maine through University, School, and National Writing Project Collaboration

Richard Kent (University of Maine, USA)

Over the past two years, a dozen or more high school staffs in Maine have studied the possibility of creating student-staffed writing centers. By the fall of 2008, there will be six, student-staffed high school writing centers in the state with another six in various stages of development. In 2006, there were no student-staffed writing centers in Maine’s 118 public high schools, though there was one faculty-staffed writing center and one student-staffed center in an independent school (private school).

What’s happening in Maine? Why has the National Writing Project shown interest in and dedicated funds toward the work happening within the state?

This round table discussion will feature information about the collaboration of the Maine Writing Project, University of Maine College of Education and Human Development, University of Maine Writing Center, the National Writing Project, and Maine secondary schools to promote and develop writing centers in Maine secondary schools.

After introductory remarks by the facilitator about the school-university collaboration and the National Writing Project’s emerging support of secondary writing centers in Maine and throughout the United States, participants will be asked to share experiences and ideas, questions and concerns about potential partnerships in an effort to build the capacity of student-staffed, secondary school writing centers in their regions and/or countries.
The Birth of a Writing Center at Fairhill School

Karen Boozer (Fairhill School - Dallas, USA)

Outlining the birth of a writing center at a private school for students with learning disabilities serving grades 1 through 12, the PowerPoint presentation will guide the audience through the various struggles of creating a center in a primary and secondary school and the future goals of the project.

Many educators are blinded to the bright and creative students labeled “learning disabled.” They possess an average to above average intelligence but process information differently than mainstreamed students.

LD students struggle with impulsivity, disorganization, processing difficulties, and a slow rate of word production. They have a “tin ear” for idiom and a tin ear for reading fluency. These obstacles block the natural creativity these students innately possess. Discouraged easily, LD students often do not get explicit help, so they hate writing and develop a self-defeating attitude. With the proper nurturing, these students can not only learn to write, they demonstrate their knowledge creatively.

Fairhill’s purpose is to provide a superior education for students diagnosed with a learning difference such as Dyslexia, Dysgraphia, Dyscalculia, Auditory Processing Disorder, Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder, or receptive/expressive language disorder.

The center provides strategies to guide students with writing. Instead of the product driven environment where students passively participate, they focus on the process. Fairhill parents tutor in the writing center. Parents learn not only how to support other students, but how to help their child with writing. Given specific direction to empower their students to become better writers, they put the learning in the hands of their students.
Building Bridges to Writing beyond the Curriculum: Outreach in High School and University Writing Centers

Lauren Fitzgerald (Yeshiva University, USA)
Patricia Melei (Lemont High School, USA)

Though intended to support student writers individually, writing centers usually also extend their focus beyond the tutoring role—to advertise their services, to find out about helping particular populations, to learn about writing in specific disciplines, to establish and build coalitions credibility with various stakeholders. A growing body of research suggests that this ongoing outreach makes writing centers natural partners with writing across the curriculum (Waldo 1993, Pemberton 1995, Barnett and Blumner 1999, Mullin 2001, Zawacki 2007.) However, as testimony to their flexibility, writing centers also reach further, beyond WAC and writing in the curriculum and at specific institutions.

This presentation focuses on a high school writing center (aptly named The Bridge) and one in a university setting that both realized the need to reach beyond WAC to develop a community of writers prepared for the academic and professional demands beyond their institutional settings. Through participation in scholarships, contests, community and public relation endeavors, The Bridge has provided a real opportunity for students to fully participate in their world. The university writing center has been partnering with institutional offices, such as Career Development and Academic Advising, which are interested in writing beyond the curriculum, including applications for jobs and professional schools.

We will discuss the tensions that emerge as different stakeholders try to retain their own disciplinary and pedagogical convictions. We will also present approaches to develop dialogue, negotiate relationships, and provide opportunities for our writing centers to be gateways to our academic and professional communities.


Ich lege auch Wert darauf, dass für diverse Textproduktionen real recherchiert wird, und sowohl der Recherche- als auch der Schreibprozess in der LV reflektiert werden. Wertschätzung für die Arbeit der Studierenden kommt dadurch zum Ausdruck, dass immer wieder einige von ihnen eingeladen werden, ihre Texte vorzulesen und andere dazu konstruktiv Stellung nehmen können.
The University of Auckland celebrates its 125th anniversary in the year of the Beijing Olympics. However, its Student Learning Centre (SLC) is less than 25 years old. The first director suggested that the lifeblood of the Centre’s existence has been the open access orientation to university education in New Zealand at both the state and institutional level. But open access for students 21 years and older is not a new regulation, so why did it take a hundred years before a learning and writing centre was established? Little is known of what happened to students who used their right of open access and then failed in their subject(s) as a likely result of being under-prepared. As university education in New Zealand, for most of its history, was attended by relatively few students, it can be assumed that such a centre was unwarranted. Also, in a settlers’ society, comprehensive university education had generally been considered of little practical use for obtaining work. This situation has arguably changed in the period leading up to the founding of the SLC in the mid 1980s.

The present paper examines the critical external and institutional factors that prompted the initiation of New Zealand’s first learning and writing centre at the University of Auckland. It briefly considers these factors in the context of (convergent) globalisation forces, state reform of higher education and institutional idiosyncrasies, and presents the multiple functional and structural configurations the Centre has undergone during the last two decades. Contrastive explorations are then briefly made with the expansion of academic writing centres in EU-based universities, and implications for “shaping writing centres in higher education” are discussed.
Today’s writing centers are being challenged to keep pace with the rapidly evolving high-tech marketplace in higher education. The University of Maryland University College Effective Writing Center is a fully online writing facility, not a supplement to face-to-face tutoring services. Although created to mimic F2F tutoring, our writing center’s growth, together with the challenge of the digital revolution, soon brought the realization that online tutoring must be more than an asynchronous process based on F2F practices. We posit that online tutoring is a new model for interconnective pedagogical practices, both within the academy and extending out from it.

In this interactive poster presentation, we demonstrate the multimedia techniques we use, including avatars, audio reviews of student papers (incorporating voice-recognition software), Flash movies, and live teleconferencing. Most importantly, we detail the justifications for this paradigm shift and provide participants a detailed list of technical and cost specifications for these tools.

We hope our presentation will encourage participants to reflect on the why, how, and what of online tutoring for their own schools: Why do they want to offer online tutoring? How can they incorporate the technologies available to them? And, perhaps most importantly, how can online tutoring serve to connect departments across campus, writing centers across communities, and institutions across nations?
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Slot 1</th>
<th>Slot 2</th>
<th>Slot 3</th>
<th>Slot 4</th>
<th>Slot 5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Focus: Institution KG V 103</td>
<td>Focus: Curriculum KG V 104</td>
<td>Focus: Training KG IV 222</td>
<td>Focus: Intercultural/L2 issues KG IV 301</td>
<td>Focus: Special issues KG II 014</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Workshop</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8:00-8:30 a.m.</td>
<td>Registration (&quot;Mensa&quot; building)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8:30-10 a.m.</td>
<td>Workshop Sandee McGlaun Creative Tutor Training: Beyond Writing about Writing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8:30-10 a.m.</td>
<td>Workshop Helen Chen Using e-Portfolios to Support Reflection and Community Building</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-10:15 a.m.</td>
<td>Workshop Martha Jerrim, Brooke Baker, Jennifer Wagner, Tutors, Training and Border Crossings: Beyond the Textual Relationship</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-10:15 a.m.</td>
<td>Workshop Melanie Brinkschulte, Annett Mudoh Training of Writing Consultants for German as a Foreign Language</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-10:15 a.m.</td>
<td>Coffee Break (foyer of building KG V)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time</td>
<td>Session Type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:15-11:15 a.m.</td>
<td>Keynote presentation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:30 a.m.-1:00 p.m.</td>
<td>Featured presentation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:00 p.m.</td>
<td>Workshop</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:00 p.m.</td>
<td>Round table</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-2 p.m.</td>
<td>Lunch</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Jonathan Worley is Senior Lecturer in Written Communications at the Saint Mary's University College on the Falls Road in Belfast, Northern Ireland. He has been there since 2001 with principal responsibility for developing the university's Written Communications Programme including a peer tutoring programme in writing. In 2005, the programme was designated as a national Centre for Excellence in Teaching and Learning. With the funding granted under this designation, Jonathan has been working with Dr. Matthew Martin to develop peer tutoring practice in the UK. Jonathan formerly taught in America at universities that emphasised the teaching of writing: Rutgers University in New Jersey and the University of New Hampshire.

Matthew Martin is Senior Lecturer in English at St Mary's University College in Belfast. He is currently, along with Jonathan Worley, leading the St Mary's Centre for Excellence in Teaching and Learning' project, funded by the Northern Ireland Department of Employment and Learning. The project assists students in developing new approaches to critical thinking and analytical writing. Charged primarily with responsibility for external dissemination, Matthew has been involved in the project’s wide range of cooperative ventures with other institutions, all centred on developing best practice in relation to writing pedagogies specific to particular disciplinary and institutional environments. This has included work in secondary schools, in teacher training environments and in higher education science departments. He has delivered talks at writing conferences in the United States and the UK and has facilitated undergraduate peer tutors to deliver talks at international conferences, as well as having assisted them in travelling and contributing to the training of peer tutors at other HE institutions in the UK. He is currently collaborating with Jonathan Worley on a forthcoming full-length guide to the training of Peer Tutors. He was trained in Composition Studies while a graduate student at the University of Michigan.
Featured presentation:

**Dr. Sibel Vurgun** is Coordinator of the international writing project ‘Enhancing the students’ writing skills’ currently carried out in the region of Lake Constance. Fourteen universities from Germany, Austria, Switzerland and Liechtenstein are involved. The cooperating two research universities, five colleges of education and seven universities of applied sciences are all part of the Lake Constance International University (IBH).

Sibel has several years of experience in teaching academic writing at university level. She works principally with doctoral students and in international contexts. She was Bologna consultant of the German rectors’ conference at Konstanz after conceiving and coordinating the transferable skills programme for doctoral students at Konstanz University.

**Dr. Kevin Dvorak** is an Assistant Professor of English and Director of the University Writing Center at St. Thomas University in Miami Gardens, Florida. He is an Executive Board member of the Southeastern Writing Center Association and was a keynote panelist at the 2008 SWCA Conference in Savannah, Georgia. Dr. Dvorak has also been an invited speaker at an East Central Writing Centers Association Conference. He is co-editor, with Dr. Shanti Bruce, of *Creative Approaches to Writing Center Work*, which is forthcoming from Hampton Press.

**Dr. Shanti Bruce** is Assistant Professor of English, Major Chair of the Master’s in Writing Program, and Coordinator of the Undergraduate Writing Program at Nova Southeastern University in Fort Lauderdale, Florida. She is co-editor, with Dr. Ben Rafoth, of *ESL Writers: A Guide for Writing Center Tutors*, which was honored by the International Writing Centers Association with their Outstanding Scholarship Award for Best Book. She is also co-editor, with Dr. Kevin Dvorak, of *Creative Approaches to Writing Center Work*, which is forthcoming from Hampton Press. Dr. Bruce delivered a plenary address at a Midwest Writing Centers Association Conference, was an invited presenter at an East Central Writing Centers Association Conference, and will be the keynote speaker at the 2008 Pacific Northwest Writing Center Association Conference.
When faced with the challenge of starting a new writing center, I made efforts to institute writing center programming that would not only emphasize and support successful academic writing on campus, but also foster a more positive, even playful attitude toward writing in general. Inspired by Hans Ostrom’s description of “plerk” (a combination of work and play in writing), I reasoned that if students could learn to view the act of writing as generative, creative, and pleasurable, then the writing they produced for their classes would likely be more engaging, in process and product.

Though the writing “playshops” (a term originating with Sonoma State University) were well received by students and faculty, I realized that the same spirit of writerly creativity was lacking in my tutor training activities. In order to foster a positive relationship to writing in the students they tutor, tutors need opportunities to explore and expand the limits of their own senses of themselves as writers. In their essay “Straighten Up and Fly Right: Writers as Tutors, Tutors as Writers” in The Everyday Writing Center: A Community of Practice (2007), authors Geller, Eodice, Condon, Carroll, and Boquet urge writing center directors to engage tutors in projects that will invite them to explore their “writerly identit[ies]” and reflect upon “how those identities participate in a writing center community of practice” (82). The most successful tutor training projects, they argue, are those that challenge “a scripted approach to tutoring” and “make the familiar strange and the strange familiar” (80; 83).

In this workshop I will describe several of the tutor training activities I have created and instituted, drawing upon my own creative life as an artist and theatre practitioner. While tutoring textbooks often ask tutors to use reading and, especially, writing to explore their relationship to writing, I argue that temporarily moving out of those familiar media into other art forms may not only foster a sense of creativity and play that tutors may then transfer to their tutees; it may also lead to fresher, deeper insights into the tutors’ writing selves. Workshop participants will explore the possibilities of costumed role plays and create visual models of their writing processes, reflecting on how these activities contribute to tutors’—and consequently tutees’—ability to see themselves as authentic and inventive writers, which leads to more authentic and inventive writing.
Workshop

Using ePortfolios to Support Reflection and Community Building

Helen Chen (Stanford University, USA)

Using ePortfolios to Support Reflection and Community Building

Electronic learning portfolios (ePortfolios) are more than just a technology: they imply a process of planning, keeping track of, making sense of, and sharing evidence of learning and performance. Using ePortfolios well requires embracing a set of practices and an understanding of learning and reflection called Folio Thinking. This interactive session will describe how ePortfolios are being used in higher education using a range of case studies and examples. Participants will brainstorm ideas and processes for how ePortfolios can support the work of writing centers while addressing their specific needs, challenges, and stakeholders.
Workshop

**Tutors, Training and Border Crossings: Beyond the Textual Relationship**

Martha Jerrim (University of Michigan, USA)
Brooke Baker (University of Michigan, USA)
Jennifer Wagner (University of Michigan, USA)

In addition to simply working with text, tutors are trained to recognize that students have many different kinds of borders which they must cross. Borders are places or situations where a person must make some kind of change in order to be accepted. Most often we think of these as places where we are changed from natives into foreigners; where we go from belonging to “us” to belonging to a group of unknown “others”. These borders are not only physical, but can also be emotional and intellectual.

Higher education gives us the skills to cross the border between manual labor job and professional career. One of the borders successful students have learned to negotiate is the one between their spoken and written languages. However, it is often difficult for some students to recognize and cross these linguistic boundaries. We believe that it is the job of tutors, composition instructors and writing centers to help students cross these boundaries and borders safely.

Because they are often successful students themselves, writing center tutors have developed the skills to help students cross these borders. At the University of Michigan-Flint, tutors not only successfully help guide writers in the standard tutorial, but also in the work that we do with developmental writers. Our success comes from the inquiry and collaboration model that has evolved over 25 years in operation.

In order to facilitate these important relationships, our tutors complete an extensive tutor training program. This semester-long class includes not only the theories of inquiry and collaboration style tutoring, but also has an apprenticeship/mentoring component that enables tutors to more effectively work with writers at all educational levels.

In this presentation the presenters will discuss:

a). The various borders tutors should acknowledge and discuss with their students.

b). Our extensive tutor training program.

c). Our new-tutor mentoring program. In particular we will discuss how mentors can provide models that empower tutors to accomplish the goal of helping students see that there are borders, not barriers.

d). Our basic writing program in which tutors help students recognize the borders that they face and give students the tools and confidence that they need so that they can, indeed, cross those borders.
Workshop

Training of Writing Consultants for German as a Foreign Language

Melanie Brinkschulte (Georg-August-Universität Göttingen, Germany)
Annett Mudoh (Georg-August-Universität Göttingen, Germany)

This workshop aims at educating writing consultants for German as a foreign language. Do you work at a writing centre where the number of international students looking for help in writing their academic texts in German is increasing rapidly? Or do you plan to establish a training program for writing consultants specializing in German as a foreign language?

In order to offer a helpful writing consultation to international students to improve their writing processes in the foreign language, German writing consultants must know about the specifics of writing in a foreign language and about particular cultural aspects in diverse academic disciplines.

By working in different groups we will focus on relevant topics in the education of writing consultants in German as a foreign language. These include such topics as how methods of supervising and coaching the writing process vary when a L2-writer or a L1-writer requires help and how the specific methods for writing in German as a foreign language could be integrated into an educational program. Furthermore, we will discuss necessary qualifications that possible candidates should have before starting the educational program. The results of the different working groups will be presented by participants in order to give an overview of the essential requirements in setting up an educational program for German as a foreign language writing consultants.

Please note that the accompanying material of this presentation will be in German.
Keynote presentation

Peer Tutoring and the Pedagogy of Encounter

Matthew Martin (St. Mary’s University College Belfast, Northern Ireland)
Jonathan Worley (St. Mary’s University College Belfast, Northern Ireland)

When the St Mary’s University College Writing Centre is operating at full capacity, it provides forty tutoring sessions per week. This represents a potential for forty, one-on-one encounters between two individuals in which writing is the central subject of conversation. It allows the opportunity for mutual insight—the key element of the encounter. In contemplating the word ‘encounter’, we are reminded of that word’s roots in the idea of ‘contrast’ and ‘contra-distinction’: to be in front of and confronted with someone or something. As in Wordsworth’s ‘poetry of encounter’, the act of peer tutoring depends upon an intellectual and psychological negotiation with difference, as well as similarity. Such encounters do not occur in a political or social vacuum because writing is always about something. These encounters are not merely personal or psychological. Rather, they are shared understandings about the world: the nature of society (including its economic and political dimensions), the nature of the physical world (including the physicality of writing), and the nature and purpose of the individual.

How do we have confidence in the enterprise of regularly asking our tutors to negotiate the uncertainties and ambiguities associated with such complex interactions? We first will consider the implications our theoretical model has for the practical requirements of peer tutor training. We will argue that peer tutor training is not principally about providing an individual with a basic set of skills associated with writing, but about encouraging, expanding, recognising and acknowledging skills with which the academically socialised student at university level is already familiar. Secondly, we will consider the implications of these negotiations for the transformation of academic identity that many of our peer tutors undergo and that we aim to make part of our tutees’ experience as well.
The purpose of this presentation is to sum up the outcomes of a project currently being carried out by 14 universities in Germany, Austria, Switzerland, and Liechtenstein. All participating universities are part of the Lake Constance International University (IBH, Internationale Bodensee-Hochschule).

The aim of this international project is to develop a consistent concept in order to improve the students’ writing skills at the IBH institutions of higher education. “Higher education” in this context covers a broad notion. Firstly, different types of universities are represented within the project: two research universities, five colleges of education and seven universities of applied sciences are cooperating. Secondly, student numbers at the participating universities vary vastly from 90 students at small colleges up to 24,000 students at the research universities. Thirdly, the participating institutions offer very different degree profiles that, in turn, demand distinct training profiles, e.g. BA for elementary school teachers as well as PhD students in Natural Sciences.

The development of this project including its challenges shall be explained briefly. Ideally, the following discussion aims at transferring conclusions drawn from this experience.
An American in Belfast, or the Impact of National Cultures on Writing Center Work
Kathleen Shine Cain (Merrimack College, USA)

After spending the 2005-2006 academic year in a Belfast writing centre, I found myself interrogating the theoretical and pedagogical underpinnings of my own writing center in the US and ultimately redefining a comfortable cultural space that I had occupied for twenty-five years. I have subsequently begun exploring the implications of national cultural constraints on writing center theory and pedagogy, focusing on the value of examining those constraints in order to understand more fully the assumptions governing writing center work.

This exploration has been influenced by conversations with St. Mary’s colleagues Worley and Martin; by Harbord’s observations on the value of examining how national context informs writing center theory/practice; by Gillespie’s analysis of the significance of cultural differences when forging international alliances; by the essays in Ganobcsik-Williams’s Teaching Academic Writing in UK Higher Education; and by Mullin’s response to my initial presentation on this topic at the 2007 IWCA conference.

In this presentation I will integrate the work of these colleagues into the narrative of my Belfast experience, arguing not only that a national academic culture constrains writing center work, but more importantly, that awareness of such cultural constraints affords us an opportunity to understand more fully the assumptions governing that work. Focusing on both obvious cultural differences (e.g., product- vs. process-oriented pedagogy) and more subtle differences (e.g., variations in students’ sense of agency), I will characterize differences between US and UK academic cultures, articulating ways in which dialogue between these cultural spaces can enrich writing center theory/pedagogy on both shores.
In the United States, the transition from secondary to post-secondary school writing is often described as jarring, a gap, a leap. In 2005-2006, a series of eight get-togethers among secondary and post-secondary school writing teachers was organized in Maine to explore eight relevant shared themes of interest: the writing process, grammar, plagiarism, assignment prompts, genres, writing in different disciplines, voice and authenticity, and evaluation of writing. The purpose of the conversations (titled Calderwood Conversations for the grant agency supporting them) was to create spaces for open discussion and sharing of strategies for teaching writing and for improving students’ transition from one context to the next. Each meeting was recorded, and the discussion threads that I am now studying were notable for their seamlessness: speakers were neither “secondary” nor “postsecondary” in their interests and approaches. What was clear, however, was that secondary school is constrained by specific limits and policies (preparation for certain exams, addressing a broader base of needs, held to outcomes that set the curriculum…) that influence teachers’ practices, while postsecondary institutions benefit from much more freedom of both choice and approach.

These same constraints shape what is possible in a writing center. Secondary/postsecondary writing center directors, teachers and tutors can work to exchange not only practices but understandings of the situated nature of their work. The session will describe the process we used to create the Calderwood Conversations and the results we observed. It will then turn to extended group discussion with participants about how such conversations might be organized by writing centers, what the key questions or themes might be, and how different institutional factors in the broad variety of contexts from which EWCA members come play a role in the nature of secondary/postsecondary connections, disconnections, and collaborations.
Allocating quality time to the writing of academic papers and completing them on time is of great importance in academia. Inspired by a series of discussions with Ellen Schendel, the coordinator of the Writing Center of Michigan State University, the Academic Writing Center (AWC) of METU initiated a venture: the Faculty Writing Retreat. The retreat is a 3-day event during which the participating faculty retreats from their departmental duties to concentrate on their writing tasks. The purpose of the retreat is to provide the faculty with an opportunity to progress in their writing in the supportive atmosphere of the AWC, while enjoying the encouragement of other colleagues and receiving intensive tutoring. This study examines the effectiveness of a series of Faculty Writing Retreats on participating faculty and tutors. Qualitative research was conducted to better understand what takes place during the phases and processes of the retreat. The participants of the retreat were given questionnaires, which not only provided data as to their perceptions of the writing retreat but also helped form the basis for follow-up focus interviews. Later, these detailed interviews were carried out with the AWC tutors involved in the Faculty Retreat. The data was first analyzed through descriptive coding. Apparent categories of and relations among the codes were examined and what they suggest in terms of their implications to the future of the writing center process at the METU AWC was discussed. Discussions were extended as to whether the writing center practice is in need of reshaping, and if so, in what way.
The purpose of this presentation is to describe the study of academic literacy mentoring as pedagogy carried out in a learning centre – WAL - at a teacher education college in Israel from 2004 to 2007.

This multiple case study was conducted using grounded theory principles. The data were gathered through in-depth interviews, recall interviews and recorded observation of mentoring sessions with five mentor-teachers and fifteen mentee-students, constituting five case studies (three mentees to each mentor). A four-phase analysis of each case study separately followed by comparison analysis between them, contrasted and compared the perceptions of the mentors with those of the mentees in all five case-studies and with the existing literature.

The findings revealed six facets which conceptualise the academic literacy mentoring pedagogy at WAL centre as a synergy, at the heart of which lies the reciprocal influence between the facet of the caring relationship and the facet of learning and knowledge development. These are anchored in four other facets: features of mentoring and of the mentor, roles of the mentor, characteristics of the mentee and the nature of the feedback given.

This research has both theoretical and practical implications for teachers and mentors of academic literacy at learning centres, as well as for policy makers in teacher education colleges in Israel and elsewhere. Since a great importance is attributed to the acquisition of academic literacy (Emerson et al. 2006; Miller & Satchwell, 2006) this study proposes a productive method of how to attain it (Alverman & Hruby, 2000; Cobb et al. 2006).
This presentation discusses the results of a study which looked at conditions that students and teachers of writing work under in 13 countries around the world. By comparing the numbers of students, the amount of writing students carry out, and the methods teachers use in their writing courses, it becomes apparent that a gap exists between the amount of writing students do for the teacher and the need students have to practice what Russell (2005) calls ‘stake-free’ writing. Some theoretical discussion based on dialogism and activity theory will help to highlight the necessity for students to be able to carry out stake-free writing as they adapt to the new and different challenges they are constantly confronted with in their academic writing needs while at university. The question is then discussed as to the role writing centers can play in helping students fill this gap. In the course of the discussion, the question is raised as to whether some methods applied by writing centers might not actually be helping to reinforce and exacerbate the current situation. Through the involuntary symbiosis that exists between classroom teachers and writing centers, writing centers may unconsciously be hindering momentum for change. The objective of this discussion is to help make writing center personnel aware of the need students have to carry out stake-free writing and to suggest methods and steps which writing centers can apply in an effort to initiate solutions to this gap.
Workshop

An Introduction to Active Listening

Martha Boeglin (Scriptoria - Philosophische Schreibwerkstatt, Germany)

The ability to listen with empathy may be the most important attribute of a (writing) coach: empathy is the ability to put oneself in another’s place in order to effectively understand and accurately interpret the thoughts of the interlocutor. Thereby allowing a student who has (writing) problems the opportunity to talk through his problem thus clarifying his thinking as well as providing him with a necessary emotional release.

Active listening (also called empathic listening or reflective listening) focuses attention on the speaker, suspending one’s own frame of reference and suspending judgment: through active listening the listener lets the speaker know, “You are important, I am not judging you, I am interested in what you are saying, I want to understand your problem and how you feel about it”.

An active listener should be able to sustain attention, retain information, clarify procedures and understand problems. He is a mirror image of what he thinks his interlocutor is saying and feeling.

The goal of the workshop is:

• to promote awareness of the importance of active listening
• to improve focus on the interlocutor
• to promote listening in a way that signals “I’m all ears” to the interlocutor
• to learn to hear what is being said - loudly and clearly
• to train how to listen impartially, keeping aside one’s own judgment

Please come equipped to write.
Round table

**A Discussion with the Authors of ESL Writers: A Guide for Writing Center Tutors about Developing a Tutor Training Program with an ELL Focus**

Shanti Bruce (Nova Southeastern University, USA)
Kevin Dvorak (St. Thomas University, USA)

As the demand for individualized writing support grows and the establishment of new writing centers continues, directors will need a plan for tutor training. Using the theory and research from the IWCA award winning collection *ESL Writers: A Guide for Writing Center Tutors*, contributing scholars will explore the complexities of tutoring NNES students in English writing and offer practical suggestions for developing a tutor training program that focuses on tutoring ELLs.

Tutor training programs can be organized in a number of ways: as intensive pre-semester workshops in which a range of topics are covered in several days; as weekly or monthly meetings throughout the term that focus on a topic of immediate concern; or even as credit-bearing courses where tutors read writing center scholarship, discuss writing pedagogy, and complete research projects. Among those topics covered in tutor training is working with NNES on English writing. To help directors understand how to help their tutors learn to be effective in these sessions, speakers will discuss the importance of welcoming students to the center; collaboratively establishing the focus for the session; being careful not to assume too much control over the students’ writing; and helping students learn to clarify their intended meanings and become proficient self-editors.

Additionally, speakers will discuss the importance of teaching tutors about second language acquisition, cultural implications for learning to write in a new language, and the variety of perspectives on plagiarism. Finally, speakers will touch on the role technology can play in the writing center and how creative writing can open up opportunities for ELLs to play with the language they are learning.

Throughout the roundtable, audience members will be encouraged to participate by asking questions, sharing their experiences, and providing insights about how these topics and suggestions might work in their local contexts.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Session</th>
<th>Speakers/Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2:30 p.m.</td>
<td><strong>Featured presentation</strong></td>
<td>Helmut Gruber, Markus Rheindorf, Birgit Huemer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Round table</em></td>
<td>Carol P. Haviland, Linda Bergmann, Michele Eodice, Trixie Smith, Brady Spangenberg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Collaborating across Borders: Forming International Writing Center Partnerships</em></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Round table</strong></td>
<td>Jonathan Spiers, Phil Vellender, Nick Endacott, Saima Sherazi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>From Skills to Socialisation: Collaboration across the Disciplines</strong></td>
<td>Peter O’Neill, Katherine Harrington</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Assessing the Quality of an Online Writing Centre</strong></td>
<td>Bart Deygers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3:30 p.m.</td>
<td><strong>Wendy Kasap</strong></td>
<td>Analysing Essay Titles in Preparation for Academic Writing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Ella Grieshammer, Judith Theuerkauf</em></td>
<td>Master Your Thesis - Schreibberatung mit integrierter Lernberatung für ausländische Studierende an der Technischen Universität Berlin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(Master your Thesis - Tutoring Writing with Integrated Learning Support for International Students at the Technical University of Berlin, Germany)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Sandra Ballweg</strong></td>
<td>An OWL for Babel — Setting up a Multilingual Online Writing Lab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Workshop</strong></td>
<td>Lauren Fitzgerald Publishing in The Writing Center Journal</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Coffee Break (foyer of building KG V)
Featured presentation:

Round Table

**Collaborating Across Borders: Forming International Writing Center Partnership**

**Carol Peterson Haviland**, Professor of English and Writing Center/WAC Director, California State University-San Bernardino. I’m especially interested in the intersections of writing centers and WAC, in intellectual property issues, in feminist theories, and in international tutor exchanges and have particularly appreciated the international perspectives EWCA colleagues have been generous in sharing with those working in the US.

**Michele Eodice** is the Director of the Writing Center and Writing Across the Curriculum at the University of Oklahoma. With her partner Kami Day she wrote *(First Person)*2: A Study of Co-Authoring in the Academy (Utah State University Press 2001). Currently she serves as the vice president of the International Writing Centers Association (president fall 2007 to fall 2009), co-editor of Kansas English and associate editor of development for The Writing Center Journal.

Michele was a leader and co-chair of the International Writing Centers Summer Institute for Writing Center Directors and Professionals for three years and recently published a book with four other writing center directors: *The Everyday Writing Center: A Community of Practice* (Utah State University Press). She is the recipient of the 2006 National Conference on Peer Tutoring in Writing’s Ron Maxwell Award for Distinguished Leadership in Promoting the Collaborative Learning Practices of Peer Tutors in Writing.

**Magnus Gustafsson** has worked in the field of writing and English for specific or academic purposes at Chalmers University of Technology since 1997. He is now the director of the Center for Language and Communication at Chalmers. The Centre runs a university-wide writing and communications program for the undergraduate level and provides electives for the MSc and PhD levels. A recurring challenge for the Centre is to make sure that the communication related interventions the Centre designs help enhance the students’ content learning while also promoting good communication practices. The Centre’s most recent project with industry includes a writing course for engineers at the SKF global R&D unit in Göteborg and the development of their project reports.

His work in facilitating writing is process and genre oriented and focuses largely on discipline-specific communication both in terms of learning-to-write but also and equally importantly in terms of writing-to-learn. This focus is to some extent reflected in some of the publications connected to writing development which include “Writing, Literature, and Technology: Online Writing and Conversational Learning” Journal of College Writing, 2006 with Art Young and Donna Reiss and also “Vintage WAC: Improving the Learning Impact of WAC” with Neill Thew. For the purposes of this keynote, however, the Centre’s most relevant publication is probably “Tackling transfer and transferability: ESP/EAP design for learning beyond templates” (Gustafsson and Eriksson, in press).
**Trixie G. Smith** is Director of The Writing Center and a member of the faculty in Rhetoric & Writing at Michigan State University. After earning a BA in English and Elementary Education from Mobile College, she spent several years teaching middle and high school students in southern Alabama. She then received an MA in English, an MLIS in Library and Information Science, and a PhD in Composition and Rhetoric from the University of South Carolina, as well as a Graduate Certificate in Women’s Studies. Her teaching and research revolve around writing center theory and practice, writing across the curriculum, writing pedagogy, and teacher training. These areas often intersect with her interests in pop culture, service learning, gender studies, and activism. Recent and upcoming publications include a book chapter in *(E)merging Identities: Graduate Students in the Writing Center*, several articles in *Southern Discourse*, *COMPbiblio: Leaders and Influences in Composition Theory and Practice* (Fountainhead Press, 2007)—a reference book focusing on the career arcs of leaders in composition studies, the textbook *The Pop Culture Zone: Writing Critically about Popular Culture* (Cengage/Wadsworth, 2009), and *Teaching in the Pop Culture Zone: Using Popular Culture in the Writing Classroom* (Cengage/Wadsworth, 2009). She is also one of the series editors for the Fountainhead Press X Series for Professional Development.

**Linda S. Bergmann**, Associate Professor of English at Purdue University and Director of the Purdue Writing Lab, has started Writing Across the Curriculum programs and writing centers at several American universities. She has taught undergraduate courses in composition, literature, pedagogy, and literacy, conducted graduate seminars in writing program administration, and published over fifteen articles and an edited collection on related topics. She has hosted a visiting international scholar at Purdue and will be a visiting scholar in the Writing Center at Sabanci University in Istanbul in the fall of 2008.
Round table

**Collaborating across Borders:**
**Forming International Writing Center Partnerships**

Carol P. Haviland (California State University, USA)  
Magnus Gustafsson (Chalmers University of Technology, Sweden)  
Linda Bergmann (Purdue University, USA)  
Michele Eodice (University of Oklahoma, USA)  
Trixie Smith (Michigan State University, USA)  
Brady Spangenberg (Purdue University, USA)

This roundtable will constitute a forum and special interest group (SIG) for people who are interested in forming international writing center partnerships.

Over the past decade, many writing center directors have become involved in international discussions and exchanges, which have brought insight about cultural similarities and differences in teaching writing to all participants. However, very few tutors have participated in these exchanges. Our roundtable will speak to that gap by reporting on several pilot collaborations, including those with three German, Swedish, and US writing centers.

The tutors and the host and sponsoring directors, and along with international consultants, will describe what they have learned about international exchanges. Of particular interest will be the tutors’ perspectives, which stress the importance of understanding the educational experiences international students and tutors bring to multiple ways of learning academic English. The group also will discuss issues of transfer as they consider reciprocal rather than colonizing ways of shaping theories and practices. Roundtable discussion also will be geared towards finding ways to enlarge these initial projects to include more tutors and more sites.

We encourage both professional staff and peer tutors to participate in this working roundtable with a goal of arranging exchanges for the coming academic terms.
“Freedom’s just another word for nothing left to lose…” On the Advantages and Disadvantages of Developing a Writing Course for Students in a Research Project

Helmut Gruber (Universität Wien, Austria)
Markus Rheindorf (Universität Wien, Austria)
Birgit Huemer (Universität Wien, Austria)

In our presentation, we will describe the institutional framework in which the development of a writing course for students at the University of Vienna takes place and how it affects and shapes the contents and design of the course. This framework mainly consists of constraints (institutional, personal etc.), but these constraints can also be viewed as (at least partly) liberating in terms of conceptual and methodological liberties of the course designers.

The major institutional constraint is the lack of institutionalised writing support for students at Vienna University, i.e. like most Austrian universities it does not have a writing centre and the course we present functions as a prototype which then might be transformed into an institutional framework. Because of this general lack, the development of the course is financed as a research project in the “translational research programme” of the Austrian Science Foundation. The project is based on previous research on Austrian students’ writing competence (cf. Gruber et al. 2006) and a writing course will be developed for two disciplines – social and economic history and linguistics. Furthermore, the course is aimed specifically at students in the second half of their studies (i.e. “advanced students” who will start working at their master’s thesis soon) who have to write seminar papers (“term papers”) in German as a major course requirement. In order to accommodate the varying amounts of writing experience that students’ bring with them, the course has a modular design: a general, introductory module complements two discipline-specific modules (one for each discipline). After completing an online questionnaire measuring students’ writing competence, students will either work through recommended sections of the introductory module or proceed directly to the discipline-specific course. Due to budgetary constraints, the basic module is realised as a website that students can use without instruction and the discipline-specific courses are developed in a blended learning environment. Our talk will include selected examples of each of the different course materials and discuss the limits which some of the institutional constraints pose for course development. On the other hand, we will argue that some of the constraints also liberate course designers insofar as they are not faced with any institutional guidelines as to which theoretical and methodological approaches have to be followed.
In this session, staff from the London Metropolitan University Writing Centre will report on the training programme for their “Writing Mentors” (undergraduate peer tutors) scheme which is now in its second year of operation. London Met is an institution with over 35,000 students from very diverse cultural and ethnic backgrounds, and it faces many issues surrounding widening participation and retention. This is reflected in the fact that 71% of students visiting the Writing Centre report that English is not their first language. London Met Writing Mentors have extremely challenging jobs and it is therefore essential that they are well prepared.

In North American Writing Centres, peer tutoring training programmes often consist of whole semester training courses taken by peer tutors for academic credit as part of their degree. We do not have this luxury. Therefore, we have created a short and intensive training programme based on and informed by our research into the effectiveness of our scheme and in particular into what lies at the heart of Writing Centre tutorials. The training focuses on issues such as student-student rapport; managing expectations; ameliorating the student’s relationship to her or his writing; working together and collaboration; and challenges and satisfactions of Writing Centre work. We feel that we now have a concise and effective training model for a scheme based on principles of collaboration and non-directive enabling. We hope that this model will be of interest to others and look forward to a dialogue around Writing Centre training which will further inform our approach.

We will examine extensive feedback from our Writing Mentors on their training and how it relates to the reality of the teaching situation. This takes the form of Writing Mentors’ reflections on a “wiki” and also video discussions. We will bring one of our undergraduate Writing Mentors to Freiburg to participate in this session.
Assessing the Quality of an Online Writing Centre

Bart Deygers (Universiteit Gent, Belgium)

Calliope, a multilingual online writing centre in the field of business communication was developed at the University of Antwerp in 2001. Fuelled by seven years of first-hand experience with the idiosyncrasies of digital educational environments, the Calliope team is now involved in an EU-funded project which aims at developing a generic method for quality assessment of digital educational materials (QuADEM) in the area of professional and academic writing skills.

Combining the expertise of an international team, the QuADEM project aims at tackling the problems that come into play when designing an online writing centre. Indeed, the pedagogical quality of online learning materials entails a myriad of variables, ranging from written content through integrated multimedia and online assessment tools to cross-cultural interpretability. The final goal of the QuADEM project is to create a dynamic review process that can be used to assess and improve the quality of an online writing centre.

For our paper we would like to present the results of our research into designing a method which assesses the quality of an online writing centre's quality, both on the level of usability as on a content-related level. We will show how we have developed and tested draft methods on these two levels. Audiovisual footage will be shown to support the presentation.
The Language and Learning Unit (LLU) at Queen Mary, University of London (QMUL) has its origins in an EFL organisation, which was contracted to the university to teach English to those students that were then called ‘foreign,’ but are now referred to as ‘international’. Following a period of rationalisation at QMUL, the LLU broke its ties with the EFL organisation and became fully integrated into the wider university body. The institutional development of this once tiny group into the now 40 strong team of English language practitioners and managers, is the subject of this round table discussion. Specifically, we shall focus on how embedding the LLU into the university strategic planning and working in both discipline specific and cross disciplinary university wide fields has led to a wider rethink on how writing, (and by implication writing centres), has a key role to play in developing the learning process.

Lisa Ede (in Irene Clark, 1998) highlights the importance of viewing writing as collaborative and contextualised, and emphasises the need to train students to become members of the academic discourse community. This has very much been the philosophy behind two collaborative approaches that the LLU has become involved in; working with both (undergraduate) Business Management students, and (postgraduate) Law students.

With regard to Business Management, the LLU has designed a credit bearing module delivered to first semester, first year students who are both English first and non-first language speakers. The course aims to familiarize students with features and genres of discrete academic discourse communities. The challenge here is to offer relevant material for both English speaking groups (as identified), whilst socialising students into writing in the subject discourse. The LLU also delivers a Legal Thinking and Writing strand of the QMUL LLM (Masters in Law), again to both English first language speakers and also (English) second or additional language speakers. This course, delivered over three terms, aims to initiate and develop the students capacity to participate in their academic and professional discourse community.

The members of the round table are teaching fellows working on these programmes. Using their experiences, the discussion will explore the implications of adopting a strategic, institution-wide approach to the development of students’ writing expertise, as well as forefront the teaching requirements of specific disciplinary collaborations. The debate will specifically touch on issues including:

- Inclusivity,
- Curriculum design,
- Course delivery,
- Staff appropriacy,
- Assessment.
Analysing Essay Titles in Preparation for Academic Writing

Wendy Kasap (Sabanci University, Turkey)

My workshop focuses on teaching students to analyse text. We shall begin by looking at a title typically used at my university, and asking you to analyse the text. However, this approach may be utilised by any discipline and helps the students to understand the question by understanding what the topic, the subject, and the most important word is. Then we brainstorm on each of these facets individually to determine what we know and already have information on. Finally, we collate the gathered material to write comprehensively, not forgetting to determine the type of linking words required by the essay genre. I developed this method through trial and error, but I have found it keeps the students focused on the question and leads to improved essay writing.


Writing in foreign languages becomes increasingly important at German universities as the number of participants in student exchange programmes and international work placements rises steadily. For L2 learners, writing in the target language does not just mean to find the right words in another language but also to arrange the writing process in a different way, to consider culturally specific features of different types of texts, and to adapt their own expectations of their writing process and final output to their actual level of language proficiency.

In this talk I outline the concept of a multilingual Online Writing Lab as it is being implemented at the Technical University of Darmstadt. By presenting aspects of our work in the OWL and by discussing different concepts for teaching writing in a foreign language, I would like to reflect on several questions on L2 writing in an OWL. Is there a possibility to teach L2 writing strategies? What kind of L1 and L2 feedback and support do learners need? How can we provide information on cultural rhetorics in an OWL? Which pieces of information do students need? How can we explain differences without generalising?
Workshop

**Publishing in The Writing Center Journal**

Lauren Fitzgerald (Yeshiva University, USA)

As an incoming co-editor of WCJ, I am especially interested in supporting authors from outside the US and representing the increasingly international nature of writing center work. Towards these ends, I would lead a workshop for EWCA members that would demystify the WCJ submission process, describe the kinds of articles the journal tends to publish, and provide a forum for generating and getting feedback on potential article ideas from fellow participants.

Outline:

1) What is WCJ and why would you want to publish in it?
   - The journal’s mission
   - Sample issues
   - Online information

2) Steps of the submission process:
   - How to submit a manuscript; required format
   - What happens once it is submitted
   - The blind review process: who reviewers are, what it means if we decide to send (or not send) your manuscript to them
   - What reviewers’ comments mean and sample readers’ reports
   - Publication timeline
   - Acceptance rates

3) What accepted articles tend to look like:
   - 10 best practices (handout), including establishing an exigence and clear statement of purpose, engagement with current and relevant scholarship of the field, using a relevant methodology
   - Particularly good examples
   - 10 mistakes to avoid (handout), including no or little involvement in the current scholarly conversation or overdependence on the chestnuts of writing center scholarship (e.g., North’s “The Idea of a Writing Center”), description over argument.

4) Alternatives to the WCJ scholarly article:
   - A new course design/institutional document section in WCJ
   - Other publication venues: Writing Lab Newsletter, Praxis, The Dangling Modifier

5) What ideas do you have?
   - Write 1) about a writing center issue that seems compelling to you, 2) about one or two books or articles in the field that have influenced you in some way, 3) about what you would want to read in WCJ or elsewhere about your compelling issue.
   - In groups, share ideas and offer feedback and suggestions.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Slot 1</th>
<th>Focus: Institution</th>
<th>Slot 2</th>
<th>Focus: Curriculum</th>
<th>Slot 3</th>
<th>Focus: Training</th>
<th>Slot 4</th>
<th>Focus: Intercultural/L2 issues</th>
<th>Slot 5</th>
<th>Focus: Special issues</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>KG V 103</td>
<td></td>
<td>KG V 104</td>
<td></td>
<td>KG IV 222</td>
<td></td>
<td>KG IV 301</td>
<td></td>
<td>KG II 014</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4-5:30 p.m.  
**Round table**  
Mary Deane, Lisa Ganbocsik-Williams, Peter O’Neill, Julian Brasington, Katherine Harrington, Dipti Baghat  
Institutional Development of Individualised Support for Staff

5:30-6 p.m.  
**Workshop**  
Michele Eodice, Elizabeth Boquet  
Teaching and Learning at the Writing Center’s Cross-roads: A Few Lessons from Jazz Improvisation

6-10:30 p.m.  
**Featured presentation**  
Katharina Weiss  
Tutoring across Borders: A German Teacher at the Writing Center of an American College in Greece  
Tracy Santa  
Writing Center Tutor Training: What is Transferable across Academic Cultures?  
Jean Thaiss  
Teaching Writing to Pre-Law Students in an Independent Writing Program

---

6-10:30 p.m.  
**Trip to Staufen and dinner** (meet at 6 p.m. at the water fountain on campus)
Featured presentation:

**Chris Thaiss** is Clark Kerr Presidential Chair and Director of the University Writing Program at the University of California at Davis. Until 2006, he was Professor of English at George Mason University, where he received the university’s David King Award for career contributions to teaching excellence. He is a frequent consultant on writing to colleges and universities. Of the eleven books he has written or edited, the most recent are Engaged Writers and Dynamic Disciplines: Research on the Academic Writing Life (written with Terry Zawacki; Heinemann, 2006) and WAC for the New Millennium: Strategies for Continuing Writing-across-the-Curriculum Programs (co-edited with Susan McLeod and Margot Soven; NCTE, 2001). Thaiss coordinates the International Network of WAC Programs (INWAC), which, in partnership with the WAC Clearinghouse (wac.colostate.edu), seeks to make the work of scholars and program developers around the world easily available.
Round table

**Institutional Development of Individualised Support for Staff**

Mary Deane (Coventry University, England)  
Lisa Ganbocsik-Williams (Coventry University, England)  
Peter O’Neill (London Metropolitan University, England)  
Julian Brasington (Liverpool Hope University, England)  
Katherine Harrington (London Metropolitan University, England)  
Dipti Baghat (London Metropolitan University, England)

The objective of this Round Table is to generate debate about the role and limitations of Writing Centres in their work with staff. This covers both academics’ writing projects and curricula development. This goal is achieved by analysing the staff WiD work currently being undertaken at Coventry University’s Centre for Academic Writing (CAW) and Write Now Centres for Excellence in Teaching and Learning (CETLs) at London Metropolitan University and Liverpool Hope University. Building on Academic Literacies theorising (Lea and Street 1998, Wingate 2006), the presenters argue that the goal of enhancing all students’ writing necessitates staff development initiatives. The presenters’ evaluations of current practice focus on two key issues. First, strategies for promoting staff writing and publication are explored. Secondly, techniques for integrating explicit writing tuition within the disciplines are examined. The common theme is effective and sustainable collaboration between writing specialists and subject specialists within diverse tertiary education environments.

Presenters from Coventry University’s Centre for Academic Writing (CAW) discuss the challenges and opportunities for working with staff on their own writing for publication. Presenters from London Metropolitan University consider how subject staff can work with writing specialists to enhance student writing. They offer a case study of good practice in the context of writing in Design. The presenter from Liverpool Hope University interrogates the Writing Centre model for individualised staff writing support. This Round Table debate will particularly appeal to policy makers in the area of tertiary literacy, staff development specialists, curricula designers, and pedagogical researchers. The recommendations include a call for further attention to the writing of academics as well as students at Higher Education Institutions.
Workshop

Teaching and Learning at the Writing Center’s Crossroads: A Few Lessons from Jazz Improvisation

Michele Eodice (University of Oklahoma, USA)
Elizabeth Boquet (Fairfield University, USA)

Increasingly, improvisational skills are being recognized as useful strategies for teaching others to work together in group settings. In corporate settings, businesses have been employing the expertise of managerial improvisational consultants for over a decade.

The two co-leaders of this workshop have found exercises in improvisation to be useful in preparing writing center tutors to work with writers and also in teaching tutors how to work most effectively as a writing center team. In this interactive workshop, the leaders will explore, with conference participants, a framework proposed by Frank Barrett in “Creativity and Improvisation in Jazz and Organizations: Implications for Learning.” In this article, Barrett examines 7 key principles of jazz improvisation that he deems transferable to organizational settings. Barrett’s work is especially useful in helping writing center staff members to understand how to support creative work through a structured, interactive environment and with the assistance of dedicated peers and professionals. In this way, jazz settings are very much like writing center settings.

The co-leaders will begin the workshop by introducing workshop participants to Barrett’s seven principles. Next, participants will be divided into small groups and each group will explore in detail one principle’s relationship to writing center work. The small groups will then report back to the whole workshop group, and we will discuss the intersections of the seven principles and consider their applications to writing center work.
Tutoring across Borders: 
A German Teacher at the Writing Center of an American College in Greece

Katharina Weiss (The American College of Greece, Greece)

Writing centers are, to a great extent, staffed by instructors who are members of the English Department and tutees expect to find experts in English. What then happens if the tutor is not part of the English faculty and if not only disciplinary but also cultural borders are crossed and the tutor comes from a different national background? How do students benefit from a non-native tutor who may not be familiar with their culturally formed perceptions? This presentation is based on my experience as a professor for German language and literature who has been tutoring for the last two years at the Writing Centers of the American College of Greece. There I have come to realize that my position as an ‘outsider’ proves to be my strength. Indeed, the special place writing centers hold within institutions of higher learning has been described as a “contact zone where different cultures, languages, literacies, and discourses” meet (Severino 2). Similarly, Sunstein locates the true value of writing centers in their “liminality” and “in-betweenness” in regard to matters like text production, pedagogy, and cultures. In this presentation I will evaluate the advantages of working as a tutor who comes from a non-English language, culture and disciplinary background, and I will report on the reactions from students, colleagues and the administration. Herewith, I encourage and promote the opening of borders within writing centers.
Dominant models of tutor training drawn from U.S. writing center practice privilege and advocate in favor of generalist tutoring practice. But how applicable are these models in European and other education systems where disciplinary practice and competence are expectations at a much earlier stage of post-secondary study than is customary in U.S. colleges and universities? Drawing on David Foster’s comparative analysis of U.S. and German post secondary education (2002; 2006) and on discussion in the work of Zemliansky (2005), Clark (1999), Walker (1998), Hubbuch (1988), and Kiedaisch & Dinitz (1993) in regard to generalist vs. discipline specific tutoring, I will endeavour to present grounds for a discussion of developing tutor training practices in global academic cultures and a consideration of practices which are applicable in tutor training beyond the borders of U.S. writing center practice. I’m especially interested in engaging the experience of educators whose practice has been employed across and between cultures and their porous boundaries: European practitioners who have worked in U.S institutions, Americans who have engaged in writing center work globally, citizens of the world interested in bringing best and locally appropriate practice to their own circumstances.
This talk will describe the course in legal writing that is part of the University Writing Program (UWP) of the University of California at Davis. Legal Writing, taught in multiple sections, is one of fifteen courses that the UWP (formerly known as the Campus Writing Center) teaches to support disciplines at UC Davis. Teachers of Legal Writing create individual course plans, but emphasize common objectives among them:

- To give students practice in the thinking and problem solving used in the legal profession
- To give students practice in writing legal arguments based on case law and statutes
- To teach students to read examples of legal writing, to extract relevant issues, and to apply those issues to new situations.

I will illustrate how I have adapted my experience as an attorney, as well as my experience helping law school graduates prepare for state bar-administered qualifying exams, to introduce legal concepts, methods, and genres to pre-law students from diverse degree programs. Students in my sections analyze case law and statutes toward creating original arguments on legal issues of personal or professional interest to them; they receive commentary on drafts from me and fellow students, and submit revised versions. The primary skills on which I evaluate my students include asking questions appropriate to the issue, finding appropriate legal precedents, and writing with passion, logic, and clarity. I teach these sections in a computer lab, where students benefit from learning tools of legal research and from comparing their works in progress.
Workshop

The International WAC/WID Mapping Project: Objectives and Current Results

Chris Thaiss (University of California, USA)

Writing instruction in the disciplines is widespread around the globe, but no comprehensive overview of the diverse ways this instruction is delivered and administered at different institutions within and across national contexts is readily available.

The International WAC/WID Mapping Project (http://mappingproject.ucdavis.edu), begun in 2006, is building a database of scholars and programmatic initiatives worldwide focused on student writing in disciplines in higher education. This research seeks to find commonalities and differences in objectives and practices, as these are influenced by traditions, policies, and local structures. What terms, teaching practices, and organizational structures can we find mutually helpful while also honoring differences in languages, traditions, and policies? This research is sponsored in part by the International Network of Writing-across-the-Curriculum Programs (INWAC) at wac.colostate.edu. Before the presentation at EWCA, presentation of earlier results will have been given in 2008 at the Writing Research across Borders Conference, the College Composition and Communication Conference, and the International WAC Conference, all in the US.

At the EWCA Conference, the Mapping Project will report statistical results of the U.S./Canada study (more than 1300 respondents) on such concerns as number and longevity of existing writing-in-disciplines (WID) programs, components of these programs, sources of funding, importance of new technologies, administrative structure, and links to writing centers and other university services. These data show, for example, that organized initiatives in the US and Canada have significantly increased since 1987.

From the preliminary study of initiatives worldwide, data from more than 200 institutions in 47 countries (as of 4/08) will cover such topics as scope of writing in disciplines in given institutions, writing support services such as academic writing centers, staff/faculty development initiatives, and dedicated writing courses/modules. Because a goal of the international research project is to build a network of scholars and institutions, the presentation at EWCA will also describe the recent merger of the WAC Clearinghouse and the International Network of WAC Programs and its value in building (1) a database of program models from many places across different countries and (2) a network of writing researchers. It will also describe such recent developments as the translation of the survey into German, Russian, and Spanish, in order to make it accessible to more scholars and teachers.

Part of the session will be in a workshop format that will enable discussion by participants of the survey questions, methodology, and near- and long-term objectives. Thus, the session should contribute data to the project and contribute to our mutual understanding of the challenges we face in our work as teachers and administrators.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Slot 1</th>
<th>Focus: Institution</th>
<th>Magnus Gustafsson</th>
<th>Keynote presentation</th>
<th>&quot;Unpacking 'Professional Writing' - Writing in the Academy to Facilitate Writing for Professional Contexts&quot;</th>
<th>KG V 103</th>
<th>9-10 a.m.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Slot 2</td>
<td>Focus: Curriculum</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>KG II 014</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slot 3</td>
<td>Focus: Training</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>KG IV 222</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slot 4</td>
<td>Focus: Institution</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>KG IV 301</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time</td>
<td>Event</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:15-11:45 a.m</td>
<td><strong>Featured presentation</strong>&lt;br&gt;<strong>Philip Sloan</strong>&lt;br&gt;Theory vs. Practice: An Empirical Study of Pedagogical Assumptions in Writing Centers</td>
<td><strong>Cecilia Hawkins</strong>&lt;br&gt;Symbiosis or Synergy? Integrating the Writing Center into Discipline-Specific Programs</td>
<td><strong>Laura Greenfield</strong>&lt;br&gt;Situating the Work of Your Writing Center: Finding A Place, Finding a Mission</td>
<td><strong>Andrea Bausch</strong>&lt;br&gt;Zwischen beruflicher Qualifizierung und individueller Unterstützung. Eindrücke aus der Schreibberatungsarbeit mit künftigen (Deutsch-)Lehrkräften an der Universität Bamberg (Between Professional Training and Individualized Support: Impressions from tutoring writing of future (German) teachers at the University of Bamberg, Germany)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 a.m.-12:45 p.m</td>
<td></td>
<td>Closing Session (KG V 103)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:45-1:30 p.m.</td>
<td>Aperitif (foyer of building KG V)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2:45-8:30 p.m.</td>
<td>Trip to Breisach - Boat trip on the Rhine with dinner (meet at 2:45 p.m. at the water fountain on campus)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Magnus Gustafsson has worked in the field of writing and English for specific or academic purposes at Chalmers University of Technology since 1997. He is now the director of the Center for Language and Communication at Chalmers. The Centre runs a university-wide writing and communications program for the undergraduate level and provides electives for the MSc and PhD levels. A recurring challenge for the Centre is to make sure that the communication related interventions the Centre designs help enhance the students’ content learning while also promoting good communication practices. The Centre’s most recent project with industry includes a writing course for engineers at the SKF global R&D unit in Göteborg and the development of their project reports.

His work in facilitating writing is process- and genre-oriented and focuses largely on discipline-specific communication both in terms of learning-to-write but also, and equally importantly, in terms of writing-to-learn. To some extent, this focus is reflected in some of the publications connected to writing development which include “Writing, Literature, and Technology: Online Writing and Conversational Learning” Journal of College Writing, 2006 with Art Young and Donna Reiss and also “Vintage WAC: Improving the Learning Impact of WAC” with Neill Thew. For the purposes of this keynote, however, the Centre’s most relevant publication is probably “Tackling transfer and transferability: ESP/EAP design for learning beyond templates” (Gustafsson and Eriksson, in press).

Philip Sloan is the Writing Consultant at the Wilfrid Laurier University Writing Centre in Waterloo, Ontario, Canada. He is a certified teacher with two Masters degrees (Applied Language Studies and Education) and a scholarly background in rhetoric and written communication. He has over six years of experience teaching academic writing to university students. Phil has worked — in both an instructional and administrative role — at writing centers in the United States and Canada. He is currently looking to get involved in writing center development in Europe.

His thesis (upon which his session is based) was defended in May of 2007, receiving a “pass with distinction.” He has presented at academic conferences in the United States and Canada, and is currently revising his writing center research for prospective publication.
This keynote comes from a perspective of writing facilitation at a university of technology. Our work is done primarily through integrated writing programmes in the respective engineering disciplines, but there are also electives and tutoring interventions. In the talk, I would like to discuss the balancing act of designing interventions and facilitation for writing programme or writing centre delivery with a specific focus on progression towards 'professional writing'. Not surprisingly, some initial remarks are called for to provide some background to our centre and the activities we run. Another set of introductory remarks are needed to offer a few paths into the talk and some of my starting points.

The first segment of the talk, however, sketches three integrated course contexts from the dual perspectives of writing as a mode of learning and writing for the professions. For these three courses, it is appropriate to look at them first from the perspective of progression from academic writing towards professional writing. Since these courses integrate content and language, the second perspective will be to explore the relative emphases in the courses and assignments on writing-to-learn and learning-to-write. Predictably, a crucial parameter for these courses is to negotiate the problem of transfer since part of the learning objective involves learning for the professions.

The second segment of the talk focuses more on the change of circumstances and emphases for more professionally situated writing facilitation. It first outlines such interventions from the point of view of promoting professional writing by also discussing the activity systems involved. For one, an activity systems approach can help explain strategic differences in how similar text functions are used in related genres. This is obviously an important outcome for facilitators and writers alike. Secondly, awareness of activity systems can also suggest the motivational factors for the writers involved. Ideally, such knowledge affects facilitation. The second segment also aims to outline how these varied writing circumstances can be facilitated through writing courses or writing centre tutoring with their respective affordances.

In the third and closing segment, I try to synthesise this picture of progression from academic to professional writing. I suggest we need to discuss how it might affect or be affected by our lenses on learning. In fact, we may need to articulate a (the?) credo with which we respond to writing in any given context. What does it mean for a writing facilitator in a course context to adapt to either an academic or a professional culture? Correspondingly, what does it mean for tutors in a writing centre setting to similarly adapt to the writing cultures they encounter? To the extent that we should adapt, what do we need to do that smoothly and effectively?
The proposed session reports on a large-scale empirical study of the epistemological assumptions underlying the work of university writing centers. Writing centers stand resolutely as a central embodiment of the writing-as-process movement, dedicated — unflinchingly — to the idea that writing is neither fixed nor final, but rather an ongoing construction of knowledge (North, 1984; North, 1994; Barnett, 1997; Gillespie & Lerner, 2004). However, to what degree are actual pedagogical practices consistent with this instructional philosophy? Are there teaching situations that push the boundaries of collaborative, process-driven theories of writing, challenging instructors to break, at least temporarily, with their philosophical ideals?

Drawing on the reflections of 30 tutors and directors from writing centers in Canada and the United States, direct observations of tutorial sessions, and an online survey of writing center professionals, I examine the shared epistemological assumptions underlying common pedagogical approaches in writing centers. I also explore the challenges faced by tutors, who must deal with contradictions between what is theoretically best for a student writer and what that writer actually wants or needs. Results indicate that tutors often deviate from the non-directive, process-based paradigm.

It is suggested that writing centers take these findings into account as they seek to develop and evolve in new institutional settings. I will provide recommendations for tutor training and suggest how my findings may contribute to the theoretical and practical construction of writing centers in Europe.
In the last two years the Writing Center at the American University in Cairo has become an increasingly important university resource. Long ago are the days when it served merely as a grammar clinic for the woefully weak writer. Two years ago the Writing Center’s services were limited to walk-in tutorials in the center. Today the center provides assistance to undergraduate and graduate students as well as faculty members. There are tutorials by appointment in the center, an online writing lab and online writing resources, writing workshops, an in-library research and writing lab for graduate students, class visits and dedicated writing specialists for faculty and a writers’ circle for creative writers.

The objective of this paper is to share the knowledge and experience our staff has gained during the expansion of our Writing Center in the hopes that it will help other Writing Centers. It is hoped that the audience will share some of their own experiences and advice after the presentation, making this a mutually beneficial session.

A survey of students and faculty will be conducted during the Spring semester 2008. The results will be compared to a similar survey conducted by the researcher in the Spring semester 2005. Interviews with a small sample of Writing Center staff and clients will also be conducted to get a better understanding of how the Writing Center is being used.

With all of this expansion, it is expected that students and faculty would be more aware of the Writing Center than in years past. It is also expected that their attitudes would have become more positive towards the valuable contribution of Writing Center.
Establishing a Culture of Writing: Writing Center and Writing across the Curriculum Interdependence

Laura Bokus (Caldwell Community College and Technical Institute, USA)

At Caldwell Community College and Technical Institute (CCC&TI), the writing center and Writing across the Curriculum (WAC) initiatives began together and operate as such. In 2005, after much research and campus-wide focus groups, writing skills were deemed the college’s focus for better training students to join the workforce. It became clear that to truly create a culture of writing at CCC&TI both WAC and the writing center needed to be established to best incorporate faculty and students, creating multiple support systems. Implementing a professional development program to train instructors on WAC and writing pedagogy was the first step. In the past year, I have completed the puzzle by starting two writing centers. My discussion will explain how CCC&TI successfully launched the WAC program by supporting students and educating faculty through a yearlong professional development cohort. Ultimately, I will show how this model for development has lead to two successful programs and a college committed to writing.
Symbiosis or Synergy? 
Integrating the Writing Center into Discipline-Specific Programs

Cecilia Hawkins (Texas A&M University, USA)

In a chapter in Barnett and Blumner’s Writing Center Theory and Practice (2008) titled “Writing Centers and Writing Across the Curriculum: A Symbiotic Relationship?” Wallace, Harris, Pemberton and other writing center theorists and practitioners explore the relationship between writing centers and WAC programs. The “symbiosis” (the “living together of two dissimilar organisms”) of the title focuses attention on the sometimes competing, sometimes even contentious missions of writing center work and WAC programs. The objective of this EWCA conference proposal is to use these discussions as a frame to demonstrate how the writing center at Texas A&M University at Qatar has integrated its services into an engineering program, created a valuable synergy with liberal arts faculty, and provided both the traditional generic and more specialized tutoring for students as well as discipline-specific faculty development and support. Using the “Just-in-time” (JIT) philosophy of “producing the right part in the right place at the right time,” the Technical Communications Center at TAMUQ has positioned itself as a valued resource and an essential component of discipline-specific instruction. At the same time, the TCC has been able to maintain its core philosophy of working with the writer, not simply the writing. Samples and examples of resources and strategies will be provided to session attendees.
Promoting Research Paper Writing through Computer Environments

Olha Ivashchyshyn (Ivan Franko National University of Lviv, Ukraine)
Volodymyr Dovbenko (Lviv Polytechnic National University, Ukraine)
Oleksandra Ostrovska (Ivan Franko National University of Lviv, Ukraine)

The paper focuses on the analysis of the basic features of Research Paper Writing (RPW) and offers suggestions for using TALL (Teaching and Learning Languages) environments (Dovbenko, V., Ivashchyshyn, O., 2005) in order to teach it effectively in English classroom situations to Ph.D. students.

The investigations in the area of RPW (Greenberg, K., 1988, Hacker, D., 2000, Yakhontova, T., 2002) and the use of technology for the purpose of its instruction (Gonzalez-Lloret, 2003, Harris, 2001) have pointed to the fact that RPW encourages the teacher to try out a variety of tools and suitably worked out computer programs providing well-organized computer-assisted tasks make it possible to cater more fully to learners’ individual needs.

The discussion on the main strategies of writing dissertations, articles, summaries and conference proposals, and in what way TALL environments are applied for the purpose of making the process of writing research papers manageable and successful is at the stake of the paper. The analysis of such phases as establishing a research territory and occupying the niche, evaluating sources, deciding on a logical organization for transferring ideas effectively is conducted. The results of the research on the ways of achieving coherence to make the connections among the ideas clear are presented.

Teachers’ observations in the course of progress assessment and the results of testing procedure of teaching RPW through TALL have confirmed successful results of learners’ knowledge acquisition, which encourages the conclusion that the applied methodology is a good resource in the process of RPW promotion.
Meeting Diversity through the Disciplines: 
a Devolved Model of Academic Skills Development

Amanda Tinker (University of Huddersfield, England) 
Gillian Byrne (University of Huddersfield, England)

Since 1992, the UK government’s widening participation agenda has sought to increase access to Higher Education beyond the select few. Faced with a more diverse student population, universities have had to consider how to meet this challenge. The predominant response was (and still is) to provide a generic, centralised study skills unit, often located within the university library. However, one of the criticisms of this separate, broad-based provision is its divorce from the subject curriculum, engendering perceptions of deficiency rather than academic development (Ivanič and Lea, 2006).

The University of Huddersfield’s response was to develop a devolved provision, which locates academic skills development within subject disciplines, with at least one Academic Skills Tutor in each School. Although devolved, the model still maintains the distinct benefit of cross disciplinary team collaboration and sharing of good practice amongst the Academic Skills Team.

The presentation details and evaluates this alternative to the centralised academic skills model, considering perceptions of staff and students and how the model has allowed closer links to the curriculum and subject specific writing and academic skills development. Illustrated with examples, we advocate a variety of strategies and teaching methods, embracing both individual tutorials and stand alone academic skills sessions. We aim to meet the needs of today’s diverse student population by providing a timely and accessible student centred response.
Situating the Work of Your Writing Center: Finding A Place, Finding a Mission

Laura Greenfield (Mount Holyoke College, USA)

This presentation will provide participants the opportunity to think through two significant questions when instituting a writing center on campus (or when re-assessing/re-articulating the work of an existing center): Where should it be housed, and how should you define its mission? The positioning in relation to existing administrative and curricular structures and the articulated purpose of a writing center have drastic effects on its reception, function, funding, opportunities, and successes. Directors regularly have to maneuver through challenging political terrain; the outcomes of these maneuverings are often largely dependent on the strategic physical and pedagogical positioning of the center at its inception.

I will draw on my experiences at three different institutions to talk about how the institutional positioning and stated missions of the programs have influenced the functioning of the centers. Specifically, I will provide an example based on my current institution in a writing center/program that includes public speaking, defines its mission in terms of developing leadership skills through writing and speaking, and is housed within one of three interdisciplinary (non-departmental) centers on campus: a center for leadership. From lessons learned, I will offer specific recommendations for others to define the goals of their centers strategically within the contexts of their institutions.

Throughout this interactive presentation, I will invite the audience to consider a series of related questions in order to assist in the process of establishing (or re-establishing) a writing center: Where in relation to existing administrative and curricular structures does/will your writing center reside? Will it be owned by the English department, a writing program, the library, an interdisciplinary tutoring center? Will it exist as an independent entity? How much control do you have in these decisions? What are the political and pedagogical implications for your institutional positioning? What should you be prepared for? How do/will you define its mission? What does current writing center theory say about the purpose of a writing center? What arguments stand to be more rigorously explored? What institutional contexts will require you to create a mission that differs from other centers? What are the pedagogical implications of how you define your mission? How will your mission provide opportunity or challenge when recruiting students, communicating with faculty, and seeking funding?
 Joined-Up Writing Support: A Central Approach to Link Academic Writing Support for Students and Staff within a Higher Education Institute

Jaqueline Potter (Trinity College Dublin, Ireland)
Laurie Lumsden (Trinity College Dublin, Ireland)
Tamara O’Connor (Trinity College Dublin, Ireland)

This discussion session presents and explores the development, progress and barriers to creating and linking writing support for students and staff within a research-intensive Higher Education institution. The paper presents dual perspectives from staff charged with supporting the academic development of staff and of students. Until recently, these staffs were operating independently, responding to the needs of their respective audiences, in isolation from one another. In 2003, the institution created a central academic enhancement centre charged with providing academic development opportunities for staff and students (CAPSL). As this structure has moved from notional to operational, new ways of working and combining the skills, knowledge and perspectives of student and staff-facing academic developers are beginning to create new opportunities and capabilities to offer more choice and structure in supporting academic writing across the institute.

In this discussion we offer a brief overview of the history, aims and approaches of the central unit, CAPSL, in which the presenters work. We chart the development of the processes and approaches in place for writing support, offering perspectives and examples of practice from both the staff and student-facing presenting team. We will present evaluations on a range of our practices from participants and developers. We explore the significant role of other institutional representatives’ perceptions of the utility of writing support as key in moving towards a more creative, interlinked and effective institutional writing support model. We invite participants to consider and debate the effectiveness of our practices and approaches, individually and as a developing suite of inter-linked and synergistic activities, as well as encouraging discussion on the theoretical and philosophical underpinnings of the approaches we present. We will encourage participants to reflect and share their own supports for academic writing and the ways in which these could be enriched within their own contexts and institutes.

This session will be of value to others working in Higher Education with either staff or students who wish to (i) discuss and share ideas from practice in relation to academic writing support; (ii) explore the diversity of purposes and audiences for academic writing support within Higher Education institutes; (iii) interrogate and develop their practice in relation to theory and their own working contexts.
Working within/Learning about Disciplines: Classroom-based Tutors as Community Liaisons

Joan Mullin (The University of Texas at Austin, USA)

WAC-writing centers believe disciplinary instructors must also help students learn to write; we work with them to change their classroom practices. Yet the one-on-one discussions with instructors and students, or collections of assignments are only fragments of what actually happens in classrooms: writing centers often don’t really know what and how instructors teach about or why they assign writing. These are context bound, revealed not just by conversation where action is theorized, but by studying the teaching of the discipline as it happens.

We might turn to writing-in-the-disciplines books that help with genres; but these are only useful if faculty themselves believe and practice what these rhetorical articulations profess. We know that is often not the case: diversity reigns not only in disciplines but among instructors within those disciplines. Classroom writing tutors, or directors who work with instructors in their classrooms, close the gap between what we think we know about writing in the disciplines and what is actually expected in those disciplines and in the classrooms in which assignments are given.

Classroom-based writing center work not only serves disciplinary writers and instructors, but also gives back the necessary research about those disciplines that can then inform—and keep current—writing center practice. By being explicit about this research-practice loop, writing centers will not only look less like writing-colonizers, they will behave less like them and become researching-collaborators. This presentation demonstrates how attaching tutors to classes changes writing practices at two sources that often operate at cross purposes: the classroom and the center.
Zwischen beruflicher Qualifizierung und individueller Unterstützung.
Eindrücke aus der Schreibberatungsarbeit mit künftigen (Deutsch-) Lehrkräften an der Universität Bamberg

Andrea Bausch (Universität Bamberg, Germany)


Mein Kurzvortrag gibt meine Erfahrungen und Beobachtungen als Schreibberaterin wieder, wie die Studierenden unter diesen spezifischen Bedingungen mit dem für sie neuen Angebot der Schreibberatung umgehen:

- Wie ist die grundsätzliche Haltung gegenüber Schreibberatung (speziell auch bei künftigen Deutschlehrkräften)?
- Wer kommt mit welchem Anliegen in die Schreibberatung?
- Wird Schreibberatung als Reparaturbetrieb für wissenschaftliche Arbeiten wahrgenommen oder als Möglichkeit, sich (für den Lehrberuf) weiterzuqualifizieren?

Die praktische Beratungsarbeit gibt dabei immer wieder neue Anstoße, wie die Beratungs- und Workshopangebote weiter entwickelt werden können.

Perspektivisch gilt unser besonderes Augenmerk dabei den folgenden Aspekten:
- Wie kann das Bewusstsein dafür geweckt werden, dass sich Schreibberatung nicht nur auf wissenschaftliche Arbeiten beschränkt?
- Wie können Studierende dazu motiviert werden, sich auf die künftigen Schreibanforderungen in ihren jeweiligen Berufen vorzubereiten?
- Wie können Schreibberatung und Workshops an positive Schreiberfahrungen der Studierenden anknüpfen?
- Und schließlich: Wie kann gerade bei künftigen Deutschlehrer/innen die Lust am Schreiben geweckt werden, so dass sie diese Schreibfreude später auch in ihrem eigenen Unterricht vermitteln können?

The university I work at has always been focussed on the humanities, one of its major aims being the education of teachers. As I am mainly responsible for the education of FL teachers of German, research on writing (e.g. text genres, writing assignments, enhancement by e-learning) is in the first place concerned with writing-in-the-discipline. However, we have begun to offer writing workshops and coaching to all students, thus moving on towards a cross-curricular level of teaching and research (also see Andrea Bausch’s contribution). Our current challenge, which this presentation deals with, is a twofold task:

- We have to adapt competencies crucial to the discipline (writing pedagogy for FL German) to the work with non-teacher students and their current academic writing problems as well as oncoming writing tasks specific of their respective future professions (e.g. adult education programmes, writing for print and audio-visual media, PR work for companies or in politics)

- We have to implement the writing-intensive courses we are offering into the teacher training curriculum in such a way that all the will-be teachers acquire the competencies necessary for the cross-curricular teaching of writing, at the same time re-defining the writing competencies FL teachers themselves actually need (for written feedback on students’ work, for verbal evaluation of student achievement, and so on).

Unless we succeed in doing both, we will not be able to set up a writing centre under these specific conditions. If we succeed, however, we might be in the position to make a contribution to the development of FL teacher training in Germany, as well as to the oncoming change in the “learning culture” within German universities.

The presentation is to outline the bottom-up approach we have chosen (learning from and in the work of coaching students; also see Andrea Bausch’s contribution) and point out the theoretical implication we have adopted from the international writing research literature, as well as from research on advanced-level writing in FL German.
Influencing and Assessing Tutor Perspective through Reflective Thinking

Patricia E. Ackermann (Kansas State University at Salina, USA)

Reflective talk-aloud protocol analysis presents a viable methodology for examining the dynamic relationships that evolve during tutorial discourse over student writing. This speaker will present the results of a doctoral research study conducted in a Midwestern community college, which demonstrates the ways in which think-aloud protocol methodologies can provide peer tutors with valuable insight into their own professional development and tutoring practices.

Responding to the International Writing Center Association’s call for proactive research supporting the complex levels of learning that take place during college writing center tutorial discourse, this study poses a viable methodology for collecting credible qualitative data. This research demonstrates the potential of talk-aloud protocol analysis to document the prominent role that relationships play in successful tutorial discourse. The data also supports the application of reflective talk-aloud protocol analysis as a viable tutor training methodology. While tutors receive feedback on their tutoring practices from writing center administrators, they receive very little direct feedback from the students or faculty about their tutoring practices. They seldom see students’ graded papers and they may or may not work with individual students on future assignments. By observing themselves in videotaped tutorial sessions and reflectively analyzing their own tutoring process, tutors can gain insight into both verbal and nonverbal strategies which foster productive tutorial discourse. Analysis of both video taped tutorial sessions and audio taped talk-aloud protocol sessions reveals the important role that negotiated relationships play in successful discourse on student writing. Participants will receive copies of an extensive research bibliography.
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PRACTICAL INFORMATION
Registration and information desk:

Open for registration:
Thursday, June 19:  8-8.45 a.m.
                   2-7 p.m.
Friday, June 20:   8-11 a.m.
Saturday, June 21: 8-8:30 a.m.

Open for information:
Thursday, June 19:  8 a.m.-6 p.m.
Friday, June 20:    8 a.m.-6 p.m.
Saturday, June 21:  8 a.m.-6 p.m.
Sunday, June 22:   8.30 a.m.- 2 p.m.

Where to find us?
“Mensa” building, in front of the Writing Center (”Schreibzentrum”)
For personal messages please leave your note at the message board right next to the information/registration table (”Mensa” building).

Computer facilities:
If you want to use the campus Internet connection, computers are available in:
3W-Café (“Mensa”-building)
Please obtain a username and password at the conference information/registration table.

Cash Machine:
The nearest cash machines are located:
1. Sparkasse Freiburg, Laßbergstraße 2, 79117 Freiburg (10 minutes walk from campus)
2. Volksbank Freiburg, Kapplerstraße 1, 79117 Freiburg (10 minutes walk from campus)

Please be aware that smoking is prohibited by law in all buildings of the university.
Thank you!
How to get there:

Directions to the Waldhof e.V.

Im Waldhof 16 · 79117 Freiburg

Via public transportation from the main train station:

- Connection: Höllentalbahn to the train station near the University of Education (the "Freiburg-Littenweiler" station) (regular train connection every 30 minutes until about 9pm). From the Freiburg-Littenweiler train station it’s about a 15 minute walk. Take a left onto the Lindenmattenstraße, walk past the University of Education, after about 200m veer right and follow the Waldhof sign. Turn onto the Sonnenbergstraße. Continue uphill until you reach "Im Waldhof” street (third street on your left- NOT to be confused with the “Waldhofstraße”!).

There will be signs with the poster of the conference all the way from the campus to the Waldhof.

or

- Tram nr. 1: towards Littenweiler continuing on to the final station- Laßbergstraße: from here about a 17-minute walk. Go up the Lindenmattenstraße, cross the train tracks, pass the University of Education and follow the above directions. NEW: Taxi service (called Anruf-Sammeltaxi) for an extra 2 EUR. The taxi drives between the final tram station and the Waldhof and can be reserved at the tram driver after entering the tram or by phone ahead of time. The number is 451-1-451. The taxi can also be reserved by phone from the Waldhof for a ride to the Laßbergstraße tram station. Be sure to call at least 30 minutes ahead of time to make your reservation.

or

Normal taxi from the main train station (Hauptbahnhof) to the Waldhof. Current price: approx. 15 EUR

By car:

- coming from the Rheintal-Autobahn (A5): Exit “Freiburg-Mitte” onto the B31 towards Donaueschingen through the city as well as through both tunnels then take the exit “FR-Littenweiler”. Take a right where the tram loops around- the Lindenmattenstraße heading towards the "Pädagogische Hochschule” (University of Education). Veer right at the “Waldhof” sign onto the Sonnenbergstraße Continue uphill until you reach “Im Waldhof” street (third street on your left- NOT to be confused with the “Waldhofstraße”!).

or

- coming from the Black Forest (B31): Exit “FR-Littenweiler” before entering the tunnel, then turn right and continue along this road until you reach the first intersection with a traffic light. Take a right onto the Lindenmattenstraße (towards "Pädagogische Hochschule/Littenweiler”). Continue as described above.
Take either tram #1 to Littenweiler (final direction) or local train in direction to Neustadt.

Exit A 5 go to B31 in direction to Titisee/Neustadt.

University of Education Freiburg
Pädagogische Hochschule Freiburg
Kunzenweg 21 · 79117 Freiburg
Tel. 0761.682-0 · www.ph-freiburg.de

B31 exit Littenweiler
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